

COOPERATION WITH NATIONAL JUDGES IN THE FIELD OF EU ENVIRONMENTAL LAW



Trainer's Manual

Module on Introduction to EU Environmental Law



Table of contents

Objectives.....	3
Structure	4
II. Methodology	6
Time frame	6
Teaching methods.....	7
Documents	11
III. User's pack: the function of different elements of the training module	12
Introduction.....	12
Blended e-learning	13
Background documentation	14
Workshop exercise material.....	15
Trainer's contributions.....	15
Additional documents	16
IV. Organising and implementing workshop: structure, content and methodology	17
Setting the scene.....	18
Unit 1: EU toolbox for national judges and prosecutors.....	22
Unit 2: Principles of EU environmental law.....	24
Unit 3: Case study on the EU environmental principles	26
Unit 4: EU nature protection legislation	28
Unit 5: EU Environmental Impact Assessment Law.....	30
Unit 6: Overview of the Environmental Liability Directive (ELD)	33
Unit 7: Air quality legislation.....	36
Unit 8: Access to justice in environmental matters	39

Unit 9: Legislation on industrial emissions and accidents.....	42
Unit 10: Case study on access to justice	45
Unit 11: EU waste law and policy.....	47
Unit 12: EU water quality legislation	50
Unit 13: Closing Session – evaluation of the workshop	53
Annex I: Template indicative workshop programme.....	55
Annex II: Background material to be contained in the user’s pack	58
A. General overview of European Environmental law	59

I. Introduction

This training module on the **Introduction to EU Environmental Law**, developed by ERA on behalf of the European Commission, addresses judicial training institutes, networks of judges, national judges, experts from NGOs, academic experts, trainers, any other relevant actors (for example, prosecutors) and end-users of European Union Member States wishing to organise training sessions in the area of EU environmental law.

Objectives

The training module addresses judges and prosecutors dealing with environmental issues with previous general, and in certain cases specific, knowledge regarding the subject. The module will provide judges and prosecutors with relevant information on the latest developments of the EU environmental law *acquis*, relevant jurisprudence of the Court of Justice of the EU and an in-depth analysis of the different environmental legislations. This training module will also assist national judges as well as national prosecutors to apply the relevant EU instruments in detail. The training module will gather materials in order to conceive a workshop of 2.5-day duration with the goal to develop and raise understanding on the main areas of EU Environmental Law.

The key topics to be covered are:

- Principles of EU Environmental Law
- Nature protection legislation
- Environmental impact assessment legislation
- Environmental Liability Directive (ELD)
- Air quality legislation
- Access to justice in environmental matters
- Industrial emissions and accidents legislation
- Waste law and policy

- Water quality legislation

After this training on the Introduction to EU Environmental Law, participants will have greater knowledge of international and EU actors and instruments presented. They will have gained a better understanding of the legal aspects related to EU approach to environmental matters and related regulation, and implementing EU instruments. Also, they will be in a better position to actively apply EU environmental rules transposed into their respective national legislation. They will also have an excellent opportunity to exchange views regarding implementation practices in their respective Member States with colleagues from other jurisdictions.

Structure

The workshop implementing the training module is designed to last 4 sessions of half a day (18h).

The workshop programme "Introduction to EU Environmental Law" consists of 13 interrelated but self-standing units. These units can be combined into an implementing workshop depending on the prior knowledge of participants, the time available and the specific training approach.

- Unit 1: EU toolbox for national judges and prosecutors
- Unit 2: Principles of EU environmental law
- Unit 3: Case study on the EU environmental principles
- Unit 4: EU nature protection legislation
- Unit 5: EU environmental impact assessment law
- Unit 6: Overview of the Environmental Liability Directive (ELD)
- Unit 7: Air quality legislation
- Unit 8: Access to justice in environmental matters
- Unit 9: Legislation on industrial emissions and accidents
- Unit 10: Case study on access to justice

- Unit 11: EU waste law and policy
- Unit 12: EU water quality legislation
- Unit 13: Closing session – evaluation of the workshop

The various training methods that can be used in future workshops based on this material will also be presented in the module, together with recommendations on how and in which part of the training they may be best employed. Face-to-face presentations can be combined with practical exercises requiring active contribution of participants, IT-supported learning, allowing participants to familiarise themselves with available tools, and interactive sessions promoting the exchange of good practice and experience. n

II. Methodology

Time frame

The workshop is designed to last approximately 4 sessions of half a day (18h). The exact structure and length will, of course, be decided by the training providers.

Elements that should be considered in each instance when finalising the workshop programme and deciding on the allocation of time between the different sub-sessions include the need to effectively cover all the main features of the subject matter and provide sufficient time for participants to ask questions and interact with the trainers and with each other. The fact that long sessions have proven to be less effective in adult training should be borne in mind. Frequent breaks or changes in teaching style should therefore be introduced in the workshop.

- An indicative time allocation for each unit will be provided in Part IV of this trainer's manual.

Crucial for the success of the training workshop is the selection of trainers. It has been proven that trainers with a professional background common to that of the participants tend to have a better understanding of their training needs and be more effective when addressing them. For this reason, the composition of the target group is a factor to be considered when selecting the trainers of an implementing workshop.

It is also important to identify the right trainer for each unit. In the units where the emphasis is on practical issues, the involvement of a practitioner, lawyer or judge with personal experience in the issue would be ideal. If the focus of a presentation is the transmission of information or the introduction to concepts or a broader area of law, an academic or a suitable policy officer even with a scientific background could also constitute a good option.

- More concrete input on the trainer's profile seemingly best fitting to each unit will be provided in Part IV of this trainer's manual.

In addition to professional qualifications, the quality of an implementing workshop will also depend on the individual trainer's didactic competences and pedagogical skills. Trainers should not only be knowledgeable, but also be able to effectively transmit information, assist end users in developing new skills and motivate them to actively follow the training. They would have to provide the necessary information in a clear and structured manner, highlight the links between participants' daily work and issues being discussed, retain some flexibility in order to adapt to the specific needs and interests of the end users attending the workshop as they become apparent, and be open and encouraging in discussing and exchanging views with them in the course of the session.

Other skills that potential trainers should ideally possess and which should be considered are the trainer's linguistic skills (when workshops are international), and their familiarity with IT products; the use of technology would be required in at least some parts of the training (IT-training sessions, use of PowerPoint or other audio-visual material, e-learning course, etc.).

Teaching methods

Lecture-style presentation

The optimal method for the provision of a large amount of information in a limited period of time is lecture-style presentations, conducted in plenary. This method provides the trainer with the necessary time and flexibility to structure and present the content of the sub-session as s/he sees fit.

Supporting material such as outlines, and PowerPoint or other presentation tools should be employed during the lecture. This would not only enable participants to follow the presentation better, but constitute a reference document for the future as well, should end users wish to review the main issues of the sub-session.

One of the objectives of the workshop is to familiarise participants with existing legislation. In this context, reference to the material included in the user's pack should be made throughout the lecture and participants should be encouraged to go through the legal texts, identify the provisions and acquire a better understanding of their structure and applicability.

Enriching the lecture with practical examples could also be a means of emphasising the link between theory and practice and better illustrating the application of various legal instruments. Brief exercises or questions could also be formulated by the trainers, requiring participants to reflect and discuss them before presenting the answer. Trainers would, thus, not only create an atmosphere of dialogue within the group, but also assess whether the concepts have been properly explained.

Time for discussion or Q&A sessions should in all cases be ensured for end users wishing to ask for clarification or further information. Depending on the content and structure of each lecture, questions may be raised during the presentation or in a subsequent discussion session moderated by the trainer or the workshop leader.

Although the key role in face-to-face presentations is played by the trainer, end users should also be encouraged to actively contribute to different sub-sessions. Participants learn not only from the provision of the training per se, but also from hearing questions and problems they have not yet found themselves confronted with. For this reason, it is important that all end users attending the workshop are encouraged and feel comfortable enough to share thoughts and ideas and contribute with their own experiences. This element is of particular importance in international workshops, where participants have the possibility to expand their knowledge with information on the application of EU environmental law in other Member States, learning from each other.

Workshop case studies

In addition to information on the EU general aspects and frameworks on environmental law, the training also aims at providing participants with some practical experience in the particularities of related cases.

In order to further highlight issues requiring special attention and allow participants to develop specific skills, it is important to ensure their involvement in this part of the training. For this reason, specially designed workshop case studies will complement each thematic unit. Another advantage of this method is that the preparation of a case study constitutes an interactive way of learning. After having listened to face-to-face presentations or read background material, participants would appreciate a change of presentation technique.

- Case studies prepared in working groups

During the workshop exercises, participants will be given the opportunity to use their skills and knowledge to solve case studies related to i. the EU environmental principles and general environmental-related legislation ii. access to justice on environmental matters.

A short introduction on the organisational aspects of the task shall be provided to participants by the trainer with the questions and answers session to be followed during the plenary session: which issues shall be discussed in the groups, what results shall be aimed for and which deliverables shall be presented during the plenary session to be followed after the discussions.

Participants should subsequently be divided into smaller working groups and working space should be provided for each of them. Working in smaller groups has significant advantages for participants: the possibility to focus on case studies will enable them to deepen their recently acquired knowledge by applying it to concrete cases. This approximates a real-life scenario and can constitute valuable experience for the future. The working group format would allow each participant to be actively involved in the debate and improve their communication skills.

As one of the key objectives of the exercise is the exchange of opinions among end users, it is important that the workshop leader allocates participants to the working groups to support this interaction: in international implementing workshops and as long as participants working languages allow it, end users from different Member States or from jurisdictions with different legal traditions should be brought together in the working groups. If a workshop is organised as a national judicial training, judges from different courts could be asked to work together. Further to solving the case, this diversity would allow participants to obtain better insights into

how the questions would be dealt with and how the EU legislation involved is applied in another country, by a different legal profession, in a different city or court.

- As two case studies are recommended for the workshops implementing this training module, altering the composition of the working groups in each exercise would be a way to further increase participant interactivity.

The trainer shall set exact timing for each part of the task during the introductory part of the case study. Depending on the time available, the trainer, coordinating each exercise, will have to decide whether all working groups should deal with all case studies or if specific case studies should be allocated to different groups in order to ensure that end users are able to thoroughly examine all issues.

Once the working groups have been set up, they should organise themselves, develop a working method and identify which member(s) of the group will be responsible for reporting the conclusions of their discussion to other end users. The trainer leading the exercise should be present and following the interaction in each group to a certain extent, offering advice on time management, being available to provide clarification and answering questions, and being prepared to assist participants if they face major difficulties or their discussion becomes derailed. If trainers of other subjects of the workshop are present, they may also get involved in discussions of the chosen smaller group for monitoring the discussion and helping out on the subject matter.

When all the groups complete their work, participants should return to the plenary session room to discuss their conclusions. This will allow them to compare their solutions to the features of the case studies, get further ideas from their colleagues in the other groups and broaden their understanding of the subject matter.

To achieve the objectives of this closing discussion, it is important to ensure that all groups take the floor and present the results of their work. It would be most effective to discuss one case at a time, invite the rapporteur of one of the groups to present their conclusions and the main elements of their discussion and then ask the end users of the other groups for additional

comments, different opinions etc. In conclusion, the trainer should summarise the main points raised in the discussion and give his/her own feedback, so that participants can confirm whether they successfully dealt with the case or whether there could be further improvement.

- IT-supported learning

IT-supported learning can enhance the efficiency of training and give end users the opportunity to gain practical experience by making use of the possibilities the internet offers on issues related to environmental law in general. In this way end users will have the opportunity to become familiar with the various EU websites in the area (such as the E-Justice Portal, the EJTJN website, Eur-Lex, the Curia website, ECLI etc.), where they can acquire further information and advice on how to apply EU instruments covered by the workshop. By efficiently using these websites, participants will actively learn how to find the relevant legal texts and cases and receive assistance on practical problems they may face when applying EU law in this area.

Documents

The documents to be made available at the training workshop consist of the contents of the user's pack. The user's pack will, in particular, include:

- blended e-learning material;
- workshop reader;
- background documentation set;
- workshop programme;
- list of participants;
- list of trainers/ speakers;
- CVs of the trainers;
- speakers' contributions;
- evaluation form.

III. User's pack: the function of different elements of the training module

Introduction

The term 'user's pack' means the entire wealth of material that will be made available to participants of an implementing workshop. This will consist mostly of the blended e-learning material, training material (related printed legal documents, links to online sources of bibliography of legal instruments on the topic on an USB stick, trainers' contributions and case studies) as well as supporting documents, such as the workshop programme, the list of participants, workshop evaluation forms etc.

It is, of course, at the discretion of the workshop organisers and trainers to use the materials provided in the manner they deem most fitting and to also include additional documents where necessary. All key EU legal instruments required for the provision of the training on Introduction to EU Environmental Law are already part of the user's pack, but as implementing workshops may be structured with a specific focus, further material could be of use.

The materials for inclusion in the user's pack can and should be provided mainly in electronic format, either using a USB stick or by making the content available online and granting all workshop participants access to it. Material that needs to be regularly referred to during the workshop or that would make it easier to follow proceedings should be provided in a hardcopy for ease of reference during the event:

- workshop programme;
- list of participants;
- list of trainers/ speakers;
- trainers' contributions;
- printed texts of the legal acts to be analysed;
- case studies;
- evaluation forms.

- When presenting the material that should accompany each unit, distinction should be made between 'necessary material' to be provided in hardcopy and 'additional material' that should be included in the electronic documentation.

Blended e-learning

The training module has been structured to include 'blended learning' as a methodological approach, given that it combines the interactivity of training during the implementing workshops with the flexibility provided by e-learning material. As the e-learning material has different functions and can be used by the workshop participants at several stages of their learning process, it is important that they have access to it on different occasions: before the implementation of the workshop, in order to prepare for the meeting, while it is taking place, in order to make best use of the available material with the help of the trainers, and after the workshop, as a point of reference for finding information on EU Environmental Law.

The key function of this e-learning material is to introduce end users to a number of legal topics with regard to various aspects of EU Environmental Law. Namely, the EU environmental principles, and legislation about subjects such as nature protection, environmental impact assessment, environmental liability, air quality, access to justice, waste, and water quality (policy context, objectives, scope of application and structure), focus in particular on definitions, key features, main challenges, access to justice, and enforcing EU instruments for preventing environmental harm, and dealing with occurred environmental harms.

It will include:

- The main international and EU legal instruments as well as case law that will be analysed during the workshop which participants should go through before they attend the course, as well as the corresponding quiz to test their knowledge. The aim is not to replace the workshop sessions on these subjects but to complement them by ensuring that all participants have a common basic level of knowledge before they start and can make the most of the discussion to clarify issues during the workshops.
- Access to the bibliography of legal instruments and other relevant source material which participants can refer to at any time.

- Access to the remainder of the e-learning version of the training module to be provided after the workshop for participants to use as a refresher and to re-use with their colleagues alongside the workshop training materials.

Once participants have been selected, they should receive information on how to access the e-learning materials and be encouraged to go through its contents 7-10 days before the implementation of the workshop. In this way, they will have the possibility to refresh or acquire some basic knowledge and be better prepared for the workshop programme.

Background documentation

Legal texts will make up the large majority of the content of the training materials: international conventions, treaty articles, regulations, directives, case law of the Court of Justice of the European Union etc. will constitute the background to analysis in the workshop. A comprehensive collection of all background documents, which can be referred to after the conclusion of the workshop, should be included in the electronic documentation. Participants are likely to come back to these texts in order to refresh their memory, find a specific provision or judgment, and seek guidance or inspiration if confronted with a case on the subject at a later stage. This format could also support easy further dissemination of this material, which workshop participants could forward to their colleagues if requested.

Further to legal texts, links to online databases, tools and sources, such as the e-justice portal, Eur-Lex, Curia, ECLI and other similar websites should as also be included as background material in the electronic documentation.

➤ Proposals on which specific material to include in this part of the user's pack are included in Part d) on the analysis of each sub-session of the workshop.

The material should be provided in the language of the workshop. When international workshops are organised, links to EU databases (such as <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/> or <http://curia.europa.eu/>) could be included, so that end users can access EU legal texts in the language of their choice. Further to their inclusion in the electronic documentation, providing

the few documents in hard copy that are absolutely essential during the workshop is recommended. Being able to quickly find a provision, see the structure of a legal instrument, make notes etc. could help end users to better follow the training and further familiarise themselves with the legal instruments being discussed.

Workshop exercise material

Two workshop exercises are proposed for the workshops implementing the training module on 'Introduction to EU Environmental Law'. Both are structured on the basis of case studies. Preparatory material supporting the workshops' case studies, such as the tasks of the different cases that are to be discussed or additional legal texts that will be needed for solving the cases must be provided for the participants during the workshop.

Trainer's contributions

In addition to the background documents, every time an implementing workshop on "Introduction to EU Environmental Law" is organised, the trainers involved should be asked to prepare their own supporting material, in the form of PowerPoint presentations, outlines, notes or full texts of their lectures. Trainers should be free to structure the material supporting their presentations as they prefer. The main objective would be to help end users attending the workshop to better follow the presentation, and for this reason particular emphasis should be given to the provision of a clear structure.

Trainers may also be advised to prepare a well-judged number of slides, leaving some time for questions and answers session during the presentation or if an unplanned discussion evolves.

The trainers' contributions could also be used as a reference document for identifying the main points of the subject matter.

Speakers' contributions should additionally be included in the user's pack. They should also be included in hardcopy in the documentation pack.

- Providing some kind of written support of the lectures is always recommended and for this reason always mentioned under “necessary documents”. Especially an outline of the PowerPoint presentation reflecting the structure of the sub-session allows participants to better understand the structure and follow the lecture.

Additional documents

Further to the training material, a number of documents supporting the organisation of the workshop must be made available to participants. These would be of immediate and continuous use during the workshop.

The finalised workshop programme must be provided at the beginning of the training, allowing participants to plan accordingly and better understand the training flow. A list of all workshop participants should be provided, facilitating the interaction between end users attending the workshop. Moreover, by including certain contact details (professional position and postal address) participants are given the opportunity to maintain contact even after the conclusion of the workshop. Finally, in order to achieve an immediate evaluation of the workshop, a questionnaire seeking participant feedback on the workshop content, organisational features and overall effectiveness shall be distributed.

IV. Organising and implementing workshop: structure, content and methodology

For the training module on the 'Introduction to EU Environmental Law' and its implementing workshops, a structure on the basis of thematic units is proposed. Each thematic unit will focus on a specific topic of EU law in this area. Each implementing workshop will thus consist of several units, ensuring the alternation of theoretical and practical elements. The final structure will, however, have to be decided by taking into consideration end users' prior knowledge and training priorities. With the addition of opening and closing units, serving both pedagogical and organisational purposes, an implementing workshop of 4 sessions of half a day (18h) could be designed as detailed below:

- Unit 1: EU toolbox for national judges and prosecutors
- Unit 2: Principles of EU environmental law
- Unit 3: Case study on the EU environmental principles
- Unit 4: EU nature protection legislation
- Unit 5: EU environmental impact assessment law
- Unit 6: Overview of the Environmental Liability Directive (ELD)
- Unit 7: Air quality legislation
- Unit 8: Access to justice in environmental matters
- Unit 9: Legislation on industrial emissions and accidents
- Unit 10: Case study on access to justice
- Unit 11: EU waste law and policy
- Unit 12: EU water quality legislation
- Unit 13: Closing session – evaluation of the workshop

Setting the scene

Short description of the contents

The workshop should always start by welcoming the participants and providing a brief introduction and explanation of the content of the programme, organisation and implementation of the workshop.

General objectives

The main objective of this first session is to welcome trainers and participants to the workshop, to set the scene by reminding them of the framework of the training course and to encourage their interaction and active participation in the course.

Specific learning points

Introduction of organisers of the workshop

The welcoming session shall be used to introduce the training manager of the workshop, who is responsible for the successful implementation of the content of the programme, chairing of presentations, managing of the questions and answers sessions, arranging and implementing of the practical exercises, and dealing with *ad hoc* issues during the whole workshop. The training manager may be assisted by a judicial training advisor of the workshop, who, ideally, shall be an expert on the topic (a judge, a legal practitioner or an academic) and who is usually involved in preparation of the workshop material from the very beginning (setting the structure of the programme, arranging the content of each presentation as well as of practical exercise to be covered, checking all the prepared presentations to make sure that the material is not duplicating each other and also helping in implementing a workshop, if needed).

Introduction of participants and trainers

The opening session should also be used in order to allow participants to introduce themselves, present their national and professional background, and illustrate their expectations for the workshop. In this way, end users will be familiar with addressing the group, which should facilitate their active participation in the following sessions and they will also get to know their

colleagues' background a little better. Making trainers and participants aware of which nationalities and professional groups are represented in the workshop can be of great relevance in the discussion and an asset in ensuring effective exchange of information and experience. The possibility to discover from participants the experience which they bring with them to the training course and what they are primarily seeking to achieve by their participation could help the workshop leader to better adapt the programme to meet participants' specific needs, by emphasising certain aspects, making adjustments on the time allocated in the different sub-sessions, etc.

- This may be achieved by inviting participants to ask a key question they expect to see addressed during the workshop or to indicate which element made them apply for the workshop.

Presentation of the workshop's programme

The workshop should include, at the beginning, a presentation of the programme, scope and objectives. The focus of each unit will be indicated and the expected contribution of the participants in each part of the programme emphasised. It is important that end users realise the goal of each unit and the flow of the workshop programme in order to be better equipped to follow the discussions and make sure they do not miss the opportunity to raise questions or clarify any ambiguity.

Presentation of the training material

The opening session is also the opportunity to present the material included in the user's pack and explain its function, so that end users may use it throughout the workshop. The content of the electronic documentation should be outlined (all related legal texts, links to online sources, suggested solutions to the case studies, etc.) and explanations provided on the documents that will have been made available to the participants for reference during the workshop (e.g. trainers presentations and outlines, key legal texts, the case studies for the workshop exercises, documents such as the list of participants, the workshop assessment tools etc.).

Presentation of the workshop's organisational aspects

Further to this, all logistical aspects of the workshop will be presented. In the face-to-face workshops, the locations that will be used during the workshop for the different sessions, the exercises and the lunch and coffee breaks will be indicated, the possibility to use computers, Wi-Fi, a library, a business station etc. laid out and information on the lunches organised and dinners provided. In the online workshops, the networking sessions as well as the virtual social programme should be presented. It is important here to ensure that end users are reminded of and are able to profit from all measures taken to facilitate their participation in the workshop, as well as of the importance of the joint activities in allowing a less formal interaction among trainers and fellow participants.

Methodology

While participants are in plenary; everyone is invited to introduce themselves. The programme of the workshop will be presented by the training manager of the workshop.

After welcoming participants and trainers to the workshop, they will be given the opportunity to introduce themselves and express their expectations regarding the workshop. This will improve the atmosphere of the workshop from the very beginning, which is a key element for its success. Participants are more likely to be active during the event if they know their colleagues' backgrounds.

Furthermore, the outline and main objectives of the workshop will be presented. This introduction will contain information on both the programme and the logistics (e.g. which rooms will be available for the participants during the workshop, library, availability of computers and Wi-Fi, coffee breaks and meals, evening programme).

Duration

The time allocated to the opening session will depend on the number of participants attending the workshop. Taking into account that the workshop should ideally have 20 to 30 participants, the opening session should last approximately 30 minutes, in order to ensure sufficient time for

all trainers and participants to present themselves, and for the provision of all necessary information on the event.

Documentation

Necessary material (to be made available in hardcopy during the sub-session):

01	Final version of the workshop programme
02	List of trainers
03	Trainers' CVs
04	List of participants

The training manager should demonstrate the entire user's pack in this unit, including the electronic documentation, in order to inform participants of all the different features of the pack.

Trainer's profile

The opening session will be held in plenary and coordinated by the training manager, the person responsible for ensuring the coherent management of the workshop, or the judicial training advisor. There would be an added value in assigning the role of the 'workshop manager' to the person responsible for the organisation of the workshop. He or she would be most suitable to present the programme's structure and main objectives, having made all related decisions and given priority to specific features of the training over others.

Unit 1: EU toolbox for national judges and prosecutors

Short description of content and general objectives

The aim of this presentation is to set the scene of the topic. Firstly, emphasis is given to the instruments and tools made available by the European law to national judges and prosecutors. Secondly, an important feature of this unit is to initiate an exchange of thoughts and experiences about EU Environmental Law among legal practitioners from different MS (peer discussion). Among the topics to be briefly discussed are: the role of the national judge; Access to justice; Preliminary reference (interpretation/validity); Supremacy of EU law; Principles of equivalence and effectiveness.

Specific learning points

- General legal aspects for the application of EU environmental law by national judges and prosecutors
- Nature protection
- The role of the national judge
- Access to justice
- Preliminary reference
- Supremacy of EU Law
- Principles of equivalence and effectiveness

Methodology

This unit should be conducted as a lecture-style presentation in plenary session, even though online. The scope of this unit is large but attempts to not go beyond what is necessary for national judges. There will be sufficient time for participants to raise questions or discuss any unclear points and to contribute with their own experience after other presentations. Therefore, this introductory part should be as clear as possible and not skip any key points which will be elaborated later on.

Time frame

The time allocated to this unit should be approximately 75 minutes.

Documentation

Necessary material (to be made available in hardcopy during the sub-session):

01	PowerPoint Presentation: "EU toolbox for national judges and prosecutors"
02	A full text of the TEU
03	A full text of the TFEU
04	A full text of the Aarhus Convention

Additional material (to be included in the electronic documentation – USB stick):

	EU Legislation and Case Law
01	Case C-243/15 „Slovak Brown Bear II“ of the CJEU
02	Recommendation on 2018/C 257/01
03	Costa/Enel case
04	Case C-312/93 Peterbroek of the CJEU
05	Case C-416/10 AG Kokott of the CJEU

Trainer's profile

An ideal speaker is a judge with high experience in European Environmental Law.

Unit 2: Principles of EU environmental law

Short description of content and general objectives

This unit is of paramount importance, since it addresses the Principles of European Environmental Law. Firstly, the presentation will discuss the scope and role of the set of principles, within the historical progression of sustainable development discussion in international law. Then, it will introduce the content of the following principles: sustainable development, integration, prevention, precautionary, rectifying damage at source, and polluter pays.

Specific learning points

- The environmental principles in European Law
- Importance and scope of environmental law principles
- Definition of sustainable development
- Sustainable development in the international instruments

Methodology

This unit should be conducted as a lecture-style presentation in plenary session, even if online, encouraging questions and discussion.

Time frame

The time allocated to this unit should be around 60min.

Documentation

Necessary material (to be made available in hardcopy during the sub-session):

01	PowerPoint Presentation: 'Principles of European Environmental Law'
-----------	---

Additional material (to be included in the electronic documentation – USB stick):

	EU Legislation and Case Law
01	ICJ, Gabčíkovo-Nagymaros Case, Hungary v. Slovakia (1997)
02	Full text of the TEU
03	Full text of the TFEU
04	Case C 43/10 (Acheloos river, Greece)
05	Trail Smelter arbitration, US v. Canada, 1941
06	ICJ Pulp Mills Case (2010)
07	WTO: Hormones case (Canada/US v. EC, 1997-1998)
08	CJEU Case C-2/90

Trainer's profile

An ideal speaker would be a professor of European environmental law or a practitioner with relevant knowledge and/or experience of the European setting.

Unit 3: Case study on the EU environmental principles

Short description of content and general objectives

In this unit, a case study on environmental principles will be implemented. It is a fictional case, which will help the participants to understand and discuss various aspects of the role and the function of the environmental principles. The implementation and the content of the principles will be examined in the framework of nature protection cases.

Specific learning points

- Role and function of the principles
- Content of the principles – focus on the principle of precaution
- Interrelationship with the substantive EU environmental law

Methodology

The trainer will present the case by raising the main factual features. After the introductory presentation, participants will be divided into groups of 6-8 people. The groups will discuss the case on the basis of EU law and national (procedural) law. After the group discussion, participants will return to the plenary. Each group will have a rapporteur to explain the results of their discussion and the trainer will comment on their findings.

Time frame

The introduction of the case study should take 15 minutes; afterwards participants will divide into groups. The discussion on the case will take 45 minutes, the following discussion in plenary including the debriefing will take another 30 minutes.

Documentation

Necessary material (to be made available in hardcopy during the sub-session):

01	Case study / text of the exercise
-----------	-----------------------------------

02	PowerPoint: Solution to the case study
----	--

Trainer's profile

Continuous training of judges on the subject can be more successful when done by a colleague who has the same professional background ("speaking the same language"). An ideal speaker could therefore be a judge experienced in administrative justice or an experienced lawyer in the field of environmental law.

Unit 4: EU nature protection legislation

Short description of content and general objectives

The focus of this unit is to introduce the EU nature protection law. Firstly, it briefly explains why the status of nature is worsening in the EU. Secondly, it provides a historical background and an institutional context of such kind of legislation. Both the Habitats Directive and the Birds Directive are specifically discussed. The presentation also highlights some compliance aspects and the challenges to implement EU nature law in practice. The challenges of national implementation are also highlighted, including cooperation aspects.

Specific learning points/ questions raised for the case study

- Nature status in Europe
- Background and context of nature legislation in Europe
- Habitats Directive
- Birds Directive

Methodology

This unit should be conducted as a lecture-style presentation in plenary session, even if online, encouraging questions and discussion.

Time frame

The time allocated to this unit should be around 75min.

Documentation

Necessary material (to be made available in hardcopy during the sub-session):

01	PowerPoint Presentation: 'EU Nature Conservation Law: an introduction'
----	--

02	Full text of the Habitats Directive
03	Full text of the Birds Directive

Additional material (to be included in the electronic documentation – USB stick):

	EU and Member States' Case Law
01	Full text of the TFEU

Trainer's profile

The ideal speaker would be a judge or a professor with relevant knowledge and experience on nature protection legislation.

Unit 5: EU Environmental Impact Assessment Law

Short description of content and general objectives

The objective of this unit is to explain the EU Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Law, focusing on the role of national judges and prosecutors. Firstly, it presents the historical development and context of the subject, including an overview of the EIA Directive, SEA Directive, Aarhus Convention, Espoo Convention, Industrial Emissions Directive, and Habitats Directive. Then, it describes the scope of EIA, and aspects of public participation and access to justice. To provide a more practical approach, several cases from the CJEU are mentioned and discussed.

Specific learning points

- Environmental Impact Assessment
- EIA Directive
- SEA Directive
- Aarhus Convention
- Espoo Convention
- IE Directive
- Habitats Directive

Methodology

This unit should be conducted as a lecture-style presentation in plenary session, even if online. The order in which the different points of the unit are presented should be defined by the trainer.

Time frame

The time allocated to this unit should be approximately 75 minutes.

Documentation

Necessary material (to be made available during the sub-session):

01	PowerPoint Presentation: 'EU Environmental Impact Assessment Law'
02	Full text of the EIA Directive
03	Full text of the SEA Directive

Additional material (to be included in the electronic documentation – USB stick):

	Relevant International and European Documents and Case Law
01	Full text of the Aarhus Convention
02	Full text of the Espoo Convention
03	Full text of the IE Directive
04	Full text of the Habitats Directive
05	Full text of the Directive 2011/92/EU on the assessment of the effects of certain public and private projects on the environment, as amended by Directive 2014/52/EU
06	Full text of the Directive 92/43/EEC on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora
07	Full text of the Directive 2010/75/EU on industrial emissions
08	CJEU Case C-243/15
08	CJEU Case Airport Brussels Hoofdstedelijk Gewest and Others (C-275/09)
09	CJEU Case Pro-Braine and Others (C-121/11, EU)

10	CJEU Case Inter-Environnement Wallonie and Bond Beter Leefmilieu Vlaanderen (C-411/17)
11	CJEU Case C-535/18
12	CJEU Case C-244/12
13	CJEU Case C-461/17
14	CJEU Case C-280/18
15	CJEU Case C-72/12
16	CJEU Case C-196/16
17	CJEU Case C-197/16
18	CJEU Case C-261/18
19	CJEU Case C-115/09
20	CJEU Case C-570/13
21	CJEU Case C-24/19

Trainer's profile

The ideal speaker would be a professor of European and/or international environmental law or a practitioner with relevant knowledge and/or experience on EU Environmental Assessment Procedures.

Unit 6: Overview of the Environmental Liability Directive (ELD)

Short description of content and general objectives

The objective of this presentation is to provide an insight of the Environmental Liability Directive (ELD). In order to do so, various aspects of it are discussed, such as: aims, key provisions, transposition, implementation, Reports and the Regulatory Fitness and Performance Programme (REFIT), Multi-annual work programme, amendments, among others. To provide a more practical approach, several cases from the CJEU are mentioned and discussed.

Specific learning points

- General information about the ELD
 - Aim
 - Key provisions
 - Amendments
- The ELD in the Member States
 - Transposition
 - Differences between Member States
 - Implementation
- Reporting and compliance mechanisms
 - Reports and the Regulatory Fitness and Performance Programme (REFIT)
 - Multi-annual work programme and other actions by the Commission
- European Parliament Resolution
- CJEU cases related to the ELD
- Interconnections with other European legislation, such as the Water Framework Directive, the Marine Strategy Framework Directive, the Birds Directive, and the Habitats Directive

Methodology

This unit should be conducted as a lecture-style presentation in either plenary session or in distance learning. The subsequent discussion should be moderated either by the trainer or the chair of the event.

Time frame

The time allocated to this unit should be approximately 45-60 minutes.

Documentation

Necessary material (to be made available in hardcopy during the sub-session):

01	PowerPoint Presentation: "Introduction to the Environmental Liability Directive"
02	Full text of the Directive 2004/35/EC on environmental liability with regard to the prevention and remedying of environmental damage (ELD)

Additional material (to be included in the electronic documentation – USB stick):

	Relevant European Documents and Case Law
01	Full text of the Industrial Emissions Directive (2010/75/EU)
02	Full text of the Water Framework Directive
03	Full text of the Marine Strategy Framework Directive (2008/56/EC)
04	Full text of the Offshore Safety Directive (2013/30/EU)
05	Full text of the Birds Directive
06	Full text of the Habitats Directive
07	CJEU Cases Raffinerie Mediterranee (ERG) SpA v Ministero dello Sviluppo economico (Cases C379/08 and C-380/08, 2010)

08	CJEU Companion Case (C-378/08, 2010)
09	CJEU Case Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare v Fipa Group Srl (C-534/13, 2015)
10	CJEU Case Túrkevei Tejtermelő Kft. v Országos Környezetvédelmi és Természetvédelmi Főfelügyelőség (C-129/16, 2017)
11	CJEU Case Volk v Unabhängiger Verwaltungssenat für die Steiermark (C-529/15, 2017)
12	CJEU Case Deutscher Naturschutzring, Dachverband der deutschen Natur- und Umweltschutzverbände e.V. (C-683/16, 2018)
13	CJEU Case Naturschutzbund Deutschland — Landesverband Schleswig-Holstein eV v Kreis Nordfriesland (C-297/19, 2020)

Trainer's profile

The ideal speaker would be a judge, public prosecutor, or academic with relevant knowledge and experience on Environmental Liability.

Unit 7: Air quality legislation

Short description of content and general objectives

The objective of this presentation is to provide an in-depth understanding of the air quality European legislation. In order to do so, a short introduction about air pollution and its impacts is followed by an explanation of the Directive 2008/50/EC on Ambient Air Quality. More specifically, emphasis is placed on the following topics: objectives, limit values, plans and goals, and monitoring. Similarly, the presentation will explain the Directive 2016/2284/EU on the reduction of national emissions of certain atmospheric pollutants, the National Emission Reduction Commitments (NERC), and the National Air Pollution Control Programmes (NAPCP). To provide a more practical approach, several cases from the CJEU are mentioned and discussed. Finally, the presentation brings some examples of national case law about the right to clean air.

Specific learning points

- Directive 2008/50/EC on Ambient Air Quality
- Directive 2016/2284/EU on the reduction of national emissions of certain atmospheric pollutants
- National Emission Reduction Commitments (NERC)
- National Air Pollution Control Programmes (NAPCP)
- The right to clean air in the Member States

Methodology

This unit should be conducted as a lecture-style presentation in plenary session, even if online, but with incentive of the audience's participation, considering their own backgrounds and experience with investigation, prosecution and adjudication in this legal field, allowing a further exploitation of the national approaches in case law in the subject.

Time frame

The time allocated to this unit should be approximately 60 minutes.

Documentation

Necessary material (to be made available in hardcopy during the sub-session):

01	PowerPoint Presentation: 'Air Quality Legislation'
02	Full text of the Directive 2008/50/EC on Ambient Air Quality
03	Full text of the Directive 2016/2284/EU on the reduction of national emissions of certain atmospheric pollutants
04	Full text of the National Emission Reduction Commitments (NERC)
05	Full text of the National Air Pollution Control Programmes (NAPCP)

Additional material (to be included in the electronic documentation – USB stick):

	Relevant European and MS Case Law
01	CJEU Case C-237/07 Janecek
02	CJEU Case C-404/13 ClientEarth
03	CJEU Case C-723/17 Craeynest
04	CJEU Case C-752/18 Deutsche Umwelthilfe
05	CJEU Case C-68/11 Commission v Italy
06	CJEU Case C-488/15 Commission v Bulgaria
07	CJEU Case C-336/16 Commission v Poland
08	CJEU Case C-636/18 Commission v France

09	UK Case ClientEarth (No.1) [2011] EWHC 3623 (Admin)
10	UK Case ClientEarth (No.1) [2015] UKSC 28
11	UK Case ClientEarth (No.2) [2016] EWHC 2740
12	UK Case ClientEarth (No.3) [2018] EWHC 398 (Admin)
13	Polish Case before the Supreme Administrative Court (File No. II OSK 3218/17)
14	Bulgarian Case before the Supreme Administrative Court (ruling No. 13138 on 1 November 2017)
15	Bulgarian Case Plovdiv (ruling No. 16049 on 20 December 2018)
16	Communication ACCC/C/2016/151 (Poland) to the Aarhus Convention Compliance Committee
17	Communication ACCC/C/2018/161 (Bulgaria) to the Aarhus Convention Compliance Committee

Trainer's profile

The ideal speaker would be an academic or an environmental lawyer with relevant knowledge and experience on air quality.

Unit 8: Access to justice in environmental matters

Short description of content and general objectives

The objective of this presentation is to provide an overview of the Aarhus Convention, with a focus on access to justice. In such a context, the aim is to achieve a basic understanding of how this Convention is applied and which limitations are encountered in the European Union at both EU and national level. In this context, emphasis is placed on the tension between procedural autonomy and harmonisation and sincere judicial cooperation. Finally, the unit aims at developing the ability to apply the mentioned knowledge to the respective areas of expertise of the participants.

Specific learning points

- Basic understanding of the Aarhus Convention, in particular access to justice;
- Basic understanding of how the Aarhus Convention is applied in the European Union at both EU and national level;
- Knowledge and understanding of the limitations encountered at EU and national level as regards the implementation of access to justice pillar;
- Ability to apply the discussed knowledge to the respective area of expertise.

Methodology

This unit should be conducted as a lecture-style presentation in plenary session, even if online, but always instigating reflection in the participants.

Time frame

The time allocated to this unit will be approximately 60 minutes.

Documentation

Necessary material (to be made available in hardcopy during the sub-session):

01	PowerPoint Presentation: 'Access to Justice in Environmental Matters'
02	Full text of the Aarhus Convention

Additional material (to be included in the electronic documentation – USB stick):

	Relevant European documents and Case Law
01	Full text of the TFEU
02	Full text of the Regulation (EC) N° 1367/2006
03	Full text of the Directive 2003/35/EC (Environmental Liability)
04	Full text of IEA Directives
05	Full text of the Directive 2003/4/EC (Access to Environmental Information)
06	Full text of the Commission Notice on Access to Justice
07	Full text of the EU Charter
08	Full text of the Directive 2010/75/EU (Industrial Emissions)
09	Full text of the Directive 2011/92/EU (Environmental Impact Assessment)
10	Full text of the Directive 2012/18/EU (Seveso III)
11	Full text of the Directive 2016/2284 (National Emissions Ceiling)
12	CJEU Case C-321/95P Greenpeace
13	T-600/15 PAN Europe case (direct concern and Charter)
14	T-330/18 Carvalho case

15	CJEU Case C-243/15 LZ II
16	CJEU Case C-570/13 Gruber
17	CJEU Case C-115/09 Bund für Umwelt und Naturschutz
18	CJEU Case C-263/08 Djurgården
19	CJEU Case C-224/01 Köbler
20	CJEU Case C-416/17 Commission v France

Trainer's profile

An ideal speaker may be an environmental lawyer or a professor with experience in the field of access to justice in environmental matters. Emphasis should be placed on practical implications and hurdles for the access to justice.

Unit 9: Legislation on industrial emissions and accidents

Short description of content and general objectives

The objective of the unit is to provide a basic understanding of the EU legislation on industrial emissions and accidents. In order to do so, the presentation will discuss the background, scope, and Best Available Techniques (BATs) of the Industrial Emissions Directive, the Medium Combustion Plants Directive, the Mercury Regulation, and the Seveso III Directive. Special emphasis is also given to practical aspects of their implementation.

Specific learning points

- Industrial Emissions Directive (2010/75/EU)
 - Best Available Techniques
 - Scope
 - Reference Documents
 - Environmental inspections of installations
 - Public participation
 - European Pollutant Release and Transfer Register (E-PRTR)
 - Accidents
- Medium Combustion Plants Directive (2015/2193)
 - Main features
- Mercury Regulation (2017/852)
 - Relevant technical aspects of mercury
- Seveso III Directive (2012/18/EU)

Methodology

This unit should be conducted as a lecture-style presentation in plenary session, even if online, but always instigating reflection in the participants.

Time frame

The time allocated to this unit should be approximately 45 minutes.

Documentation

Necessary material (to be made available in hardcopy during the sub-session):

01	PowerPoint Presentation: 'Introduction to the Legislation on Industrial Emissions and Accidents'
02	Full text of the Industrial Emissions Directive (2010/75/EU)
03	Full text of the Medium Combustion Plants Directive (2015/2193)
04	Full text of the Mercury Regulation (2017/852)
05	Full text of the Seveso III Directive (2012/18/EU)

Additional material (to be included in the electronic documentation – USB stick):

	Relevant European and MS documents and Case Law
01	Full text of the IPPC Directive
02	Full text of the Directive 2001/80/EC on the limitation of certain pollutants into the air from large combustion plants
03	Full text of the Directive 2000/76/EC on the incineration of waste
04	Full text of the Council Directive 1999/13/EC on the limitation of emissions of volatile organic compounds due to the use of organic solvents in certain activities and installations
05	Full text of the Council Directive 78/176/EEC on waste from the titanium dioxide industry
06	Full text of the Council Directive 82/883/EEC on procedures for the surveillance and monitoring of environments concerned by waste from the titanium

	dioxide industry
07	Full text of the Council Directive 92/112/EEC on procedures for harmonising the programmes for the reduction and eventual elimination of pollution caused by waste from the titanium dioxide industry
08	Full text of the Ecodesign Directive (2009/125/EC)
09	Full text of the Directive 82/501/EEC on the major-accident hazardous of certain industrial activities, with amendments

Trainer's profile

The ideal speaker would be a lawyer or an environmental law professor with knowledge of the industrial emissions directive and the legislation on environmental accidents.

Unit 10: Case study on access to justice

Short description of content and general objectives

In this unit the topic of access to justice in environmental matters will be examined through the lens of an air pollution case. It is a fictional case, which will help the participants to understand and discuss various aspects of the requirements according to the Aarhus Convention, as well as the provisions of the Air Quality Directive.

The focus will be on Access to Justice for individuals and NGOs for challenging an air quality plan before national courts. Hence, emphasis will be placed on the national procedural rules as regards the admissibility of the legal action as well as the scope and the standard of review and the adoption of effective remedies.

Specific learning points

- Air Quality Directive obligations
- Air quality plans (nature and content)
- Access to Justice for individuals and NGOs
- Effective Remedies
- National procedural rules

Methodology

The trainer will present the case by raising the main factual features. After the introductory presentation, participants will be divided into groups of 6-8 people. The groups will discuss the case on the basis of EU law and national (procedural) law. After the group discussion, participants will return to the plenary. Each group will have a rapporteur to explain the results of their discussion and the trainer will comment on their findings.

Time frame

The introduction of the case study should take 15 minutes; afterwards participants will divide into groups. The discussion on the case will take 45 minutes, the following discussion in plenary including the debriefing will take another 30 minutes.

Documentation

Necessary material (to be made available in hardcopy during the sub-session):

01	Case study / text of the exercise
02	PowerPoint: Solution to the case study

Trainer's profile

Continuous training of judges on the subject can be more successful when done by a colleague who has the same professional background ("speaking the same language"). An ideal speaker could therefore be a judge experienced in administrative justice or an experienced lawyer in the field of environmental law.

Unit 11: EU waste law and policy

Short description of content

The objective of this presentation is to unpack the EU policy in the field of waste management and to provide a comprehensive overview of the different pieces of legislation in this field. The presentation comprises understanding the scope of the EU requirements and the core principles behind them, applying the correct legislation, and interpreting the national law. The unit is highly practical-oriented, analysing many cases from the CJEU.

Specific learning points

- Scope of the EU Waste Law
- Obligations within EU Waste Law
- Principles of EU Waste Law
- Definition of "waste"
- Enforcement challenges at national level
- Waste operations (landfill and incineration)
- Waste shipment

Methodology

This unit should be conducted as lecture-style presentation in plenary session, even if online, but always instigating reflection in the participants.

Time frame

The time allocated to this unit should be approximately 75 minutes.

Documentation

Necessary material (to be made available in hardcopy during the sub-session):

01	PowerPoint Presentation: 'Introduction to EU Waste Law and Policy'
02	Full text of the Waste Framework Directive
03	Full text of the Regulation (EC) 1013/2006 on shipments of waste (WSR)
04	Full text of the Ship Recycling Regulation (EU) 1257/2013

Additional material (to be included in the electronic documentation – USB stick):

	Relevant European documents and Case Law
01	Full text of the Birds Directive
02	Full text of the TFEU
03	Full text of the Directive 99/31/EC on the landfill of waste
04	Full text of the Directive 2010/75/EU on industrial emissions
05	Full text of the Directive 94/62/EC on packaging and packaging waste
06	Full text of the Directive 2000/53/EC on end-of-life vehicles (ELV)
07	Full text of the Directive 2006/66/EC on batteries and accumulators
08	Full text of the Directive 2012/19/EU on waste electrical and electronic equipment (WEEE)
09	Full text of the Directive 2006/21/EC
10	Full text of the Commission Decision 2000/532/EC
11	Full text of the directives on the Circular economy package (2018/849-852)

12	CJEU Case C-129/16 (Túrkevei Tejtermelő Kft.)
13	CJEU Case C-69/15 (Nutrivot)
14	CJEU Case C-487/14 (Total Waste Recycling)
15	CJEU Case C-494/01 (Commission v Ireland)
16	CJEU Case C-2/90
17	CJEU Case C-297/08
18	CJEU Case C-335/16 (VG Čistoća)
19	CJEU Case C-292/12 (Ragn Sells)

Trainer profile

An ideal speaker may be a professor with experience in the field of EU waste law.

Unit 12: EU water quality legislation

Short description of content

The objective of the unit is to provide a basic understanding of the EU water quality legislation. This means addressing the basic aim of the EU Water Legislation, the EU regulatory framework for water quality, and related case law from the CJEU. Some specific aspects are also analysed, such as the characterization of the river basins districts, monitoring and classification of water bodies, participatory approach, economic dimension, among others.

Specific learning points

- Water Framework Directive
- River Basin Management Plans
- Ground Water Directive
- Environmental Quality Standards
- Urban Waste-Water
- Drinking Water
- Pollutants
- Related case law

Methodology

This unit should be conducted as a lecture-style presentation in plenary session, even if online, but always instigating reflection in the participants.

Time frame

This session should take approx. 60 minutes.

Documentation

Necessary material (to be made available in hardcopy during the sub-session):

01	PowerPoint Presentation: 'EU Water Quality Framework'
02	Full text of the Water Framework Directive (2000/60/EC)
03	Full text of the Groundwater Directive (2006/118/EEC)
04	Full text of the Nitrates Directive (91/676/EEC)
05	Full text of the Urban Waste-Water Treatment Directive (91/271/EEC)
06	Full text of the Drinking Water Directive (98/83/EC)
07	Full text of the Floods Directive (2007/60/EC)
08	Full text of the Marine Strategy Framework Directive (2008/56/EC)
09	Full text of the Bathing Water Directive (2006/7/EC)

Additional material (to be included in the electronic documentation – USB stick):

	Relevant European documents and Case Law
01	Full text of the Birds Directive
02	Full text of the TFEU
03	Full text of the Directive 99/31/EC on the landfill of waste
04	Full text of the EIA Directive (Directive 2011/92/EU)
05	Full text of the SEA Directive (Directive 2001/42/EC)
06	Full text of the Habitats Directive (92/43/EEC)

07	Full text of the Industrial Emissions Directive (2010/75/EU)
08	Full text of the Environmental Liability Directive (2004/35)
09	Full text of the Directive 2008/105/EC, as amended by the Directive 2013/39
10	CJEU Case C-461/13 Weser
11	CJEU Case C-535/18 IL et others v. Nordrhein-Westfalen
12	CJEU Case C-43/10 Acheloos
13	CJEU Case C-346/14 Commission v. Austria ("Schwarze Sulm")
14	CJEU Case C-525/12 Commission v. Germany
15	CJEU Case C-686/15 Vodoopskrba i odvodnja
16	CJEU Case C-280/02 Commission v. France
17	CJEU Case C-543/16 Commission v. Germany
18	CJEU Case C-197/18 Wasserleitungsverband Nördliches Burgenland

Trainer profile

An ideal speaker may be a professor with experience in the field of EU water quality legislation.

Unit 13: Closing Session – evaluation of the workshop

Short description of content

In the final session of the workshop, conclusions will be drawn and participants will be invited to assess the event.

General objectives

Participants will provide feedback on the whole event, the preliminary information, the workshop documentation, the e-learning module, and the usefulness of the workshop for their daily work.

Specific learning points

- Summing up the event
- Obtaining the feedback
- Use the feedback and the evaluations to improve the training module (for organisers)

Methodology

Participants will be in plenary. Before the final discussion and evaluation of the event is actually opened, each participant should have already filled in the evaluation form. If possible, all participants, i.e. including the speakers and the training manager and/or judicial training advisor of the workshop, shall participate in this final evaluation session. The training manager of the workshop should encourage the participants to speak openly about their impressions of the workshop.

Time frame

The closing session should take approx. 20-30 minutes.

Documentation

Necessary material (to be made available in hardcopy during the sub-session):

01	Evaluation form
-----------	-----------------

Trainer profile

The closing session will be chaired by the training manager and/or the judicial training advisor of the workshop.

Annex I: Template indicative workshop programme

Introduction to EU Environmental Law – Focus on the role of national judges and prosecutors

Online workshop for judges and prosecutors

- Date & Place

Envisaged speakers & training managers

Key topics

- Access to justice
- General and special principles of EU law
- EU legislation on nature, air and water quality, industrial emissions and accidents
- Environmental Liability Directive and EU environmental assessment law
- EU waste law
- Public participatory rights
- Preliminary ruling request procedure
- CJEU case law
- Various case studies

Language

Event number

Organiser

INTRODUCTION TO EU ENVIRONMENTAL LAW – FOCUS ON THE ROLE OF NATIONAL JUDGES AND PROSECUTORS

Day 1 – 1st Session

- 08:45 Join the platform
- 09:00 Introduction and practical information
- 09:15 “Ice-breaking” exercise with the participants
- 09:30 EU toolbox for national judges and prosecutors
- Access to justice
 - Preliminary reference on interpretation and validity
 - Supremacy of EU law
 - Principles of equivalence and effectiveness
- 10:45 Break
- 11:00 Principles of EU environmental law
- 12:00 Break
- 12:15 Case study on the EU environmental principles
- Introduction to the case study
 - Working groups
 - Plenary discussion of the results
- 13:30 End of the first session

Objective

The aim of this 4-session online workshop is to provide participants with a thorough introduction to EU environmental law. Lectures coupled with workshops and practical case studies will offer a comprehensive overview of the main areas of EU environmental legislation and policy as well as CJEU case law. Emphasis will be given to the role of national judges and prosecutors in order to facilitate the handling of future national court procedures in the field of environmental law.

Who should attend?

Judges and prosecutors interested in environmental law

Your contact persons

Day 2 – 2nd Session

- 08:45 Join the platform
- 09:00 Welcome and practical information
- 09:15 EU nature protection legislation
- Focus on Articles 4, 6, 12 and 16 of the Habitats Directive
 - Focus on Article 9 of the Birds Directive
 - CJEU case law
- 10:30 Break
- 11:00 EU environmental impact assessment law
- EIA Directive
 - SEA Directive
 - CJEU case law
- 12:15 Quiz session
- 12:30 Break
- 12:45 Overview of the Environmental Liability Directive (ELD)
- 13:30 End of the second session

Date 3 – 3rd Session

- 08:45 Join the platform
- 09:00 Welcome and practical information
- 09:15 Air quality legislation
- Air Quality Directive
 - Links with National Emissions Ceilings (NEC) Directive
- 10:15 Break
- 10:30 Access to justice in environmental matters
- Aarhus Convention
 - Commission Notice on Access to Justice in Environmental Matters
- 11:30 Break
- 11:45 Legislation on industrial emissions and accidents
- Industrial Emissions Directive and Medium Combustion Plants Directive
 - Mercury Regulation (EU) 2017/852
 - Seveso III Directive
- 12:15 Case study on access to justice
- Introduction to the case study
 - Working groups
 - Plenary discussion of the results
- 13:30 End of the third session

Day 4 – 4th Session

- 08:45 Join the platform
- 09:00 Welcome and practical information
- 09:15 EU waste law and policy
- Waste Framework Directive
 - Waste Streams Directives
 - Waste operations: landfilling and incineration
 - Shipment of waste
- 10:30 Quiz Session
- 10:45 Break
- 11:00 EU water quality legislation
- Water Framework Directive
 - Drinking Water Directive
 - Nitrates Directive
- 12:00 Quiz session
- 13:00 Evaluation of the workshop
- 13:30 End of the online workshop

For programme updates: www.era.int
 Programme may be subject to amendment.

Annex II: Background material to be contained in the user's pack

A. General overview of European Environmental law

	I.EU Documents	
1	Consolidated versions of the <u>Treaty on European Union</u> and the <u>Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union</u> (OJ C 202, 07.06.2016)	ONLINE
2	<u>Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, The European Green Deal, COM(2019), 640 final, 11 December 2019</u>	ONLINE
3	<u>COM(2018) 10 final, Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, "EU actions to improve environmental compliance and governance", 18 January 2018</u>	ONLINE
4	<u>Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, An Action Plan for nature, people and the economy (COM(2017) 198 final, 27 April 2017)</u>	ONLINE
5	<u>COM(2017) 63 final, Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, "The EU Environmental Implementation Review: Common challenges and how to combine efforts to deliver better results", 3 February 2017</u>	ONLINE
6	<u>Annex "Guidance to Member States: Suggested Actions on Better Environment", 3 February 2017</u>	ONLINE
7	<u>Commission Communication, Next steps for a sustainable European future; European action for sustainability (COM(2016) 739 final, 22 November 2016)</u>	ONLINE
8	<u>COM(2016) 316 final, Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the</u>	ONLINE

	<u>Committee of the Regions, "Delivering the benefits of EU environmental policies through a regular Environmental Implementation Review", 27 May 2015</u>	
9	<u>DECISION No 1386/2013/EU OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 20 November 2013 on a General Union Environment Action Programme to 2020 'Living well, within the limits of our planet'</u>	ONLINE
10	<u>COM(2012) 95 final, Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions - Improving the delivery of benefits from EU environment measures: building confidence through better knowledge and responsiveness, 7 March 2012</u>	ONLINE
11	<u>COM(2011) 244 final , Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, "Our life insurance, our natural capital: an EU biodiversity strategy to 2020", 3 May 2011</u>	ONLINE
12	<u>Communication from the Commission on the Precautionary Principle, COM (2000) 1 final, 2 February 2000</u>	ONLINE
13	<u>Council Directive 91/692/EEC of 23 December 1991 standardizing and rationalizing reports on the implementation of certain Directives relating to the environment</u>	ONLINE
	II. International Documents	
14	<u>Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety to the Convention on Biological Diversity, 2000</u>	ONLINE
15	<u>Rio Declaration on Environment and Development 1992</u>	ONLINE
16	<u>Convention on conservation of migratory species of wild animals (Bonn Convention)</u>	ONLINE
17	<u>Convention on the Conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats, 1979</u>	ONLINE

18	<u>Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES), Washington, 1973 (with amendments)</u>	ONLINE
19	<u>Convention on wetlands of international importance especially as waterfowl habitat 1971 (Ramsar Convention)</u>	ONLINE
20	<u>UN Convention on Biological Diversity</u>	ONLINE
	III. EU Case law	
	1. General principles of European environmental law	
21	<u>C-269/13 Judgment of the Court (Sixth Chamber) 10 April 2014 P, Acino AG</u>	ONLINE
22	<u>C-521/12 Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 15 May 2014 T. C. Briels and Others v Minister van Infrastructuur en Milieu</u>	ONLINE
23	<u>C-343/09 Judgment of the Court (Fourth Chamber) of 8 July 2010, Afton Chemical Limited v Secretary of State for Transport</u>	ONLINE
24	<u>C-77/09 Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 22 December 2010 Gowan Comércio Internacional e Serviços Lda v Ministero della Salute</u>	ONLINE
25	<u>C-254/08 Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 16 July 2009, Futura Immobiliare srl Hotel Futura and Others v Comune di Casoria</u>	ONLINE
26	<u>T-37/04 Judgment of the Court of First Instance (Third Chamber) of 1 July 2008, Região autónoma dos Açores v Council of the European Union</u>	ONLINE
27	<u>C-188/07 Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber) of 24 June 2008, Commune de Mesquer v Total France SA and Total International Ltd.</u>	ONLINE
28	<u>T-13/99 Judgment of the Court of First Instance (Third Chamber) of 11 September 2002, Pfizer Animal Health SA v Council of the European Union</u>	ONLINE
29	<u>C-371/98 Judgment of the Court of 7 November 2000, The Queen v Secretary of State for the Environment, First Corporate Shipping.</u>	ONLINE
30	<u>C-180/96 Judgment of the Court of 5 May 1998, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland v Commission of the European Communities</u>	ONLINE

31	<u>C-341/95 Judgment of the Court of 14 July 1998, Gianni Bettati v Safety Hi-Tech Srl.</u>	ONLINE
32	<u>C-233/94 Judgment of the Court of 13 May 1997, Federal Republic of Germany v European Parliament and Council of the European Union</u>	ONLINE
33	<u>C-422/92 Judgment of the Court of 10 May 1995, Commission of the European Communities v Federal Republic of Germany</u>	ONLINE
	2. Origins and sources of European Environmental Law	
34	<u>C-439/05 P Judgment of the Court (Third Chamber) of 13 September 2007, Land Oberösterreich and Republic of Austria v Commission of the European Communities</u>	ONLINE
35	<u>C-176/03, Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber) of 13 September 2005, Commission of the European Communities v Council of the European Union</u>	ONLINE
36	<u>C-6/03 Judgment of the Court (First Chamber) of 14 April 2005, Deponiezweckverband Eiterköpfe v Land Rheinland-Pfalz</u>	ONLINE
37	<u>C-270/03 Judgment of the Court (Third Chamber) of 9 June 2005, Commission of the European Communities v Italian Republic</u>	ONLINE
38	<u>C-337/89 Judgment of the Court of 25 November 1992, Commission of the European Communities v United Kingdom</u>	ONLINE
39	<u>C-240/83 Judgment of the Court of 7 February 1985, Procureur de la République v Association de défense des brûleurs d'huiles usagées (ADBHU)</u>	ONLINE
40	<u>C-92/79 Judgment of the Court of 18 March 1980, Commission of the European Communities v Italian Republic</u>	ONLINE
	3. The relationship between European and National Environmental Law	
41	<u>C-178/03 Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 10 January 2006, Commission of the European Communities v European Parliament and Council of the European Union</u>	ONLINE
42	<u>C-338/01 Judgment of the Court (Sixth Chamber) of 29 April 2004, Commission</u>	ONLINE

	<u>of the European Communities v Council of the European Union</u>	
43	<u>C-42/97 Judgment of the Court of 23 February 1999, European Parliament v Council of the European Union</u>	ONLINE
44	<u>C-203/96 Judgment of the Court (Sixth Chamber) of 25 June 1998, Chemische Afvalstoffen Dusseldorp BV and Others v Minister van Volkshuisvesting, Ruimtelijke Ordening en Milieubeheer</u>	ONLINE
	4. The European Commission as “Guardian of the treaties”: Infringement procedures and cases	
45	<u>C-503/06 Judgment of the Court (Sixth Chamber) of 15 May 2008, Commission of the European Communities v Italian Republic.</u>	ONLINE
46	<u>C-98/04 Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 4 May 2006, Commission of the European Communities v United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland</u>	ONLINE
47	<u>C-304/02 Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber) of 12 July 2005, Commission of the European Communities v French Republic.</u>	ONLINE
48	<u>C-117/03 Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 13 January 2005, Società Italiana Dragaggi SpA and Others v Ministero delle Infrastrutture e dei Trasporti and Regione Autonoma Friuli Venezia Giulia.</u>	ONLINE
49	<u>C-435/99 Judgment of the Court (First Chamber) of 12 December 2000, Commission of the European Communities v Portuguese Republic.</u>	ONLINE
50	<u>C-387/97 Judgment of the Court of 4 July 2000, Commission of the European Communities v Hellenic Republic</u>	ONLINE
51	<u>C-392/96 Judgment of the Court (Fifth Chamber) of 21 September 1999, Commission of the European Communities v Ireland</u>	ONLINE
52	<u>C-186/98 Judgment of the Court (First Chamber) of 8 July 1999, Criminal proceedings against Maria Amélia Nunes and Evangelina de Matos</u>	ONLINE
53	<u>C-422/92 Judgment of the Court of 10 May 1995, Commission of the European</u>	ONLINE

	<u>Communities v Federal Republic of Germany</u>	
54	<u>C-52/90 Judgment of the Court of 31 March 1992, Commission of the European Communities v Kingdom of Denmark</u>	ONLINE
55	<u>C-59/89 Judgment of the Court of 30 May 1991, Commission of the European Communities v Federal Republic of Germany</u>	ONLINE
56	<u>C-162/89 Judgment of the Court of 13 June 1990, Commission of the European Communities v Kingdom of Belgium</u>	ONLINE
57	<u>C-96/81 Judgment of the Court of 25 May 1982, Commission of the European Communities v Kingdom of the Netherlands</u>	ONLINE

B. Biodiversity, Environmental Impact Assessment, Environmental Liability

	I. Nature and species protection	
	1. EU Documents	
58	<u>Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030 – Bringing nature back into our lives, COM(2020) 380 final, 20 May 2020</u>	ONLINE
59	<u>Commission Staff working Document of 16.12.2016 of the EU Nature Legislation (Birds and Habitats Directives) Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds and Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora</u>	ONLINE
60	<u>Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/1141 of 13 July 2016 adopting a list of invasive alien species of Union concern pursuant to</u>	ONLINE

	<u>Regulation (EU) No 1143/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council</u>	
61	<u>Council Decision (EU) 2015/451 of 6 March 2015 concerning the accession of the European Union to the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES)</u>	ONLINE
62	<u>Regulation (EU) No 1143/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 October 2014 on the prevention and management of the introduction and spread of invasive alien species</u>	ONLINE
63	<u>Council Decision of 12 February 2013 on the conclusion on behalf of the European Union of the Nagoya-Kuala Lumpur Supplementary Protocol on Liability and Redress to the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety (2013/86/EU)</u>	ONLINE
64	<u>Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 792/2012 of 23 August 2012 laying down rules for the design of permits, certificates and other documents provided for in Council Regulation (EC) No 338/97 (consolidated version) on the protection of species of wild fauna and flora by regulating the trade therein and amending Regulation (EC) No 865/2006</u>	ONLINE
65	<u>Commission Regulation (EU) No 737/2010 of 10 August 2010 laying down detailed rules for the implementation of Regulation (EC) No 1007/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council on trade in seal products</u>	ONLINE
66	<u>Regulation (EU) 995/2010 of the European Parliament and of the Council laying down the obligations of operators who place timber and timber products on the market</u>	ONLINE
67	<u>DIRECTIVE 2009/147/EC OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds (Birds Directive)</u>	ONLINE
68	<u>Regulation (EC) No 1007/2009 (consolidated version) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 September 2009 on trade in seal products</u>	ONLINE
69	<u>Commission Regulation (EC) No 1024/2008 of 17 October 2008 laying down detailed measures for the implementation of Council Regulation (EC) No</u>	ONLINE

	<u>2173/2005 (consolidated version) on the establishment of a FLEGT licensing scheme for imports of timber into the European Community</u>	
70	<u>Commission regulation (EC) No 865/2006 of 4 May 2006 laying down detailed rules concerning the implementation of Council Regulation (EC) No 338/97 on the protection of species of wild fauna and flora by regulating trade therein</u>	ONLINE
71	<u>Council Regulation (EC) No 2173/2005 of 20 December 2005 on the establishment of a FLEGT licensing scheme for imports of timber into the European Community</u>	ONLINE
72	<u>Recommendation of the European Parliament and of the Council of 4 April 2001 providing for minimum criteria for environmental inspections in the Member States</u>	ONLINE
73	<u>Council Directive 1999/22/EC of 29 March 1999 relating to the keeping of wild animals in zoos</u>	ONLINE
74	<u>COUNCIL REGULATION (EC) No 338/97 of 9 December 1996 on the protection of species of wild fauna and flora by regulating trade therein</u>	ONLINE
75	<u>COUNCIL DIRECTIVE 92/43/EEC of May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (Habitats Directive)</u>	ONLINE
76	<u>COUNCIL DECISION 82/72/EEC of 3 December 1981 concerning the conclusion of the Convention on the conservation of European wildlife and natural habitats (Bern Convention)</u>	ONLINE
77	<u>European Commission, Natura 2000; Nature and Biodiversity Newsletter (includes Natura 2000 Barometer (published quarterly))</u>	ONLINE
78	<u>Special Report More efforts needed to implement the Natura 2000 network to its full potential, 2017</u>	ONLINE
79	<u>European Parliament resolution of 2 February 2016 on the mid-term review of the EU's Biodiversity Strategy (2015/2137(INI))</u>	ONLINE
80	<u>Institute for European Environmental Policy, The Health and Social Benefits of Nature and Biodiversity Protection (final report, 28 April 2016) (funded by the</u>	ONLINE

	<u>European Commission, DG Environment (ENV.B.3/ETU/2014/0039))</u>	
81	<u>The implementation of the Birds and Habitats Directives in estuaries and coastal zones, European Commission, January 2011</u>	ONLINE
82	<u>Wind energy developments and Natura 2000, European Union 2011</u>	ONLINE
83	<u>The Economics of Ecosystems and Biodiversity: Mainstreaming the Economics of Nature: A synthesis of the approach, conclusions and recommendations of TEEB (2010)</u>	ONLINE
84	<u>European Commission guidance document on hunting under Council Directive 79/409/EEC on the conservation of wild birds "The Birds Directive", December 2006</u>	ONLINE
85	<u>MANAGING NATURA 2000 SITES: The provisions of Article 6 of the 'Habitats' Directive 92/43/EEC</u>	ONLINE
86	<u>Guidance document on Article 6(4) of the 'Habitats Directive' 92/43/EEC</u>	ONLINE
87	<u>Guidance document on the strict protection of animal species of Community interest under the Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC</u>	ONLINE
88	<u>Natura 2000 and forests 'Challenges and opportunities', European Communities 2003</u>	ONLINE
89	<u>Sustainable tourism and Natura 2000: Guidelines, initiatives and good practices in Europe, European Communities 2001</u>	ONLINE
90	<u>Guidelines for the establishment of the Natura 2000 network in the marine environment: Application of the Habitats and Birds Directives</u>	ONLINE
91	<u>Appendix 1 : Marine Habitat types definitions. Update of "Interpretation Manual of European Union Habitats"</u>	ONLINE
92	<u>Appendix 2: Lists of existing marine Habitat types and Species for different Member States</u>	ONLINE
93	<u>Appendix 3: Examples of the technique of the use of matrix as a management tool for decision-making.</u>	ONLINE

94	<u>Appendix 4 I: Good experiences and examples of implementation of Natura 2000 in the marine environment including LIFE fund actions in the marine environment</u>	ONLINE
95	<u>Appendix 4 II: LIFE and the marine environment: Promoting sustainable management of Europe's seas</u>	ONLINE
96	<u>Appendix 5: Bibliography</u>	ONLINE
	2. EU Case law	
97	<u>Case C-254/19, Judgment of the Court (First Chamber) of 9 September 2020, Friends of the Irish Environment Ltd v An Bord Pleanála</u>	ONLINE
98	<u>Case C-477/19, Judgment of the Court (Seventh Chamber) of 2 July 2020, IE v Magistrat der Stadt Wien</u>	ONLINE
99	<u>Case C-161/19, Judgment of the Court (First Chamber) of 23 April 2020, European Commission v Republic of Austria</u>	ONLINE
100	<u>Case C-217/19, Judgment of the Court (First Chamber) of 23 April 2020, European Commission v Republic of Finland</u>	ONLINE
101	<u>Case C-290/18, Judgment of the Court (Ninth Chamber) of 5 September 2019, European Commission v Portuguese Republic</u>	ONLINE
102	<u>Joined Cases C-293/17 and C-294/17 Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 7 November 2018</u>	ONLINE
103	<u>Case C-461/17 Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 7 November 2018</u>	ONLINE
104	<u>Case C-97/17 Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 26 April 2018, European Commission v Republic of Bulgaria</u>	ONLINE
105	<u>Case C-441/17 Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber) of 17 April 2018, European Commission v Republic of Poland</u>	ONLINE
106	<u>Case C-323/17 Judgment of the Court (Seventh Chamber) of 12 April 2018, People Over Wind and Peter Sweetman v Coillte Teoranta</u>	ONLINE
107	<u>C-281/16 Judgement of the Court (Fourth Chamber) of 19 October 2017,</u>	ONLINE

	<u>Hoekschewaards Landschap v Staatssecretaris van Economische Zaken</u>	
108	<u>C- 142/16 Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 26 April 2017</u> <u>European Commission v Federal Republic of Germany</u>	ONLINE
109	<u>C-315/16 Judgment of the Court (Tenth Chamber) of 30 March 2017, József</u> <u>Lingurár v Miniszterelnökséget vezető miniszter</u>	ONLINE
110	<u>C-461/14 Judgment of the Court (Fifth Chamber) of 24 November 2016,</u> <u>European Commission v Kingdom of Spain</u>	ONLINE
111	<u>C-504/14 Judgment of the Court (Fourth Chamber) of 10 November 2016,</u> <u>European Commission v Hellenic Republic</u>	ONLINE
112	<u>C-243/15 Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber) of 8 November 2016,</u> <u>Lesoochránárske zoskupenie VLK v Obvodný úrad Trenčín</u>	ONLINE
113	<u>Joined Cases C-387/15 and C-388/15 Judgment of the Court (Seventh</u> <u>Chamber) of 21 July 2016, Hilde Orleans and Others v Vlaams Gewest</u>	ONLINE
114	<u>C-141/14 Judgment of the Court (Third Chamber) of 14 January 2016.</u> <u>European Commission v Republic of Bulgaria</u>	ONLINE
115	<u>C-399/14 Judgment of the Court (Third Chamber) of 14 January 2016. Grüne</u> <u>Liga Sachsen eV and Others v Freistaat Sachsen</u>	ONLINE
116	<u>Case C-301/12 Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 3 April 2014,</u> <u>Cascina Tre Pini Ss v Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del</u> <u>Mare and Others.</u>	ONLINE
117	<u>Case C-521/12 Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 15 May 2014. T.</u> <u>C. Briels and Others v Minister van Infrastructuur en Milieu</u>	ONLINE
118	<u>C-258/11 Judgment of the Court (Third Chamber) of 11 April 2013. Peter</u> <u>Sweetman and Others v An Bord Pleanála. Reference for a preliminary ruling:</u> <u>Supreme Court - Ireland.</u>	ONLINE
119	<u>C-43/10 Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber) of 11 September 2012.</u> <u>Nomarchiaki Aftodioikisi Aitolokarnanias and Others v Ypourgos</u>	ONLINE

	<u>Perivallontos, Chorotaxias kai Dimosion ergon and Others.</u>	
120	<u>C-340/10 Judgment of the Court (Fourth Chamber) of 15 March 2012, European Commission v Republic of Cyprus.</u>	ONLINE
121	<u>C-182/10 Judgment of the Court (Fourth Chamber) of 16 February 2012, Marie-Noëlle Solvay and Others v Région wallonne.</u>	ONLINE
122	<u>C-404/09 Judgment of the Court (Fourth Chamber) of 24 November 2011, European Commission v Kingdom of Spain.</u>	ONLINE
123	<u>C-383/09 Judgment of the Court (Fourth Chamber) of 9 June 2011, European Commission v French Republic.</u>	ONLINE
124	<u>C-308/08 Judgment of the Court (Fourth Chamber) of 20 May 2010, European Commission v Kingdom of Spain.</u>	ONLINE
125	<u>C-241/08 Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 4 March 2010, European Commission v French Republic.</u>	ONLINE
126	<u>C-226/08 Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 14 January 2010, Stadt Papenburg v Bundesrepublik Deutschland.</u>	ONLINE
127	<u>C-418/04 Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 13 December 2007, Commission of the European Communities v Ireland.</u>	ONLINE
128	<u>C-179/06 Judgment of the Court (Fourth Chamber) of 4 October 2007, Commission of the European Communities v Italian Republic.</u>	ONLINE
129	<u>C-304/05 Judgment of the Court (Fourth Chamber) of 20 September 2007, Commission of the European Communities v Italian Republic.</u>	ONLINE
130	<u>C-235/04 Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 28 June 2007, Commission of the European Communities v Kingdom of Spain</u>	ONLINE
131	<u>C-342/05 Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 14 June 2007, Commission of the European Communities v Republic of Finland.</u>	ONLINE
132	<u>C-508/04 Judgment of the Court (Fourth Chamber) of 10 May 2007, Commission of the European Communities v Republic of Austria.</u>	ONLINE

133	<u>C-183/05 Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 11 January 2007, Commission of the European Communities v Ireland.</u>	ONLINE
134	<u>C-418/05 P Order of the Court (Sixth Chamber) of 7 November 2006, Centro Provincial de Jóvenes Agricultores de Jaén (ASAJA) and Others v Council of the European Union.</u>	ONLINE
135	<u>C-239/04 Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 26 October 2006, Commission of the European Communities v Portuguese Republic.</u>	ONLINE
136	<u>C-244/05 Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 14 September 2006, Bund Naturschutz in Bayern eV and Others v Freistaat Bayern.</u>	ONLINE
137	<u>C-191/05 Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 13 July 2006, Commission of the European Communities v Portuguese Republic.</u>	ONLINE
138	<u>C-221/04 Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 18 May 2006, Commission of the European Communities v Kingdom of Spain.</u>	ONLINE
139	<u>C-209/04 Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 23 March 2006, Commission of the European Communities v Republic of Austria.</u>	ONLINE
140	<u>C-518/04 Judgment of the Court (Fifth Chamber) of 16 March 2006, Commission of the European Communities v Hellenic Republic.</u>	ONLINE
141	<u>C-98/03 Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 10 January 2006, Commission of the European Communities v Federal Republic of Germany.</u>	ONLINE
142	<u>C-6/04 Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 20 October 2005, Commission of the European Communities v United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland.</u>	ONLINE
143	<u>C-441/03 Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 14 April 2005, Commission of the European Communities v Kingdom of the Netherlands.</u>	ONLINE
144	<u>C-117/03 Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 13 January 2005, Società Italiana Dragaggi SpA and Others v Ministero delle Infrastrutture e dei Trasporti and Regione Autonoma Friuli Venezia Giulia.</u>	ONLINE

145	<u>C-127/02 Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber) of 7 September 2004, Landelijke Vereniging tot Behoud van de Waddenzee and Nederlandse Vereniging tot Bescherming van Vogels v Staatssecretaris van Landbouw, Natuurbeheer en Visserij.</u>	ONLINE
146	<u>C-407/03 Judgment of 15 July 2004, Commission v Finland.</u>	ONLINE
147	<u>C-143/02 Judgment of the Court (Third Chamber) of 20 March 2003, Commission of the European Communities v Italian Republic.</u>	ONLINE
148	<u>C-75/01 Judgment of the Court (Sixth Chamber) of 13 February 2003, Commission of the European Communities v Grand Duchy of Luxembourg.</u>	ONLINE
149	<u>C-103/00 Judgment of the Court (Sixth Chamber) of 30 January 2002, Commission of the European Communities v Hellenic Republic.</u>	ONLINE
150	<u>C-374/98 Judgment of the Court (Sixth Chamber) of 7 December 2000, Commission of the European Communities v French Republic.</u>	ONLINE
151	<u>C-371/98 Judgment of the Court of 7 November 2000, The Queen v Secretary of State for the Environment, Transport and the Regions, ex parte First Corporate Shipping Ltd, interveners: World Wide Fund for Nature UK (WWF) and Avon Wildlife Trust.</u>	ONLINE
152	<u>C-256/98 Judgment of the Court (Fifth Chamber) of 6 April 2000, Commission of the European Communities v French Republic.</u>	ONLINE
153	<u>C-3/96 Judgment of the Court of 19 May 1998, Commission of the European Communities v Kingdom of the Netherlands.</u>	ONLINE
154	<u>C-44/95 Judgment of the Court of 11 July 1996, Regina v Secretary of State for the Environment, ex parte: Royal Society for the Protection of Birds.</u>	ONLINE
155	<u>C-355/90 Judgment of the Court of 2 August 1993, Commission of the European Communities v Kingdom of Spain.</u>	ONLINE

	II. Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA)	
	1. EU Documents	
156	<u>Environmental Impact Assessment of Projects – Guidance on Screening, 2017</u>	ONLINE
157	<u>Environmental Impact Assessment of Projects – Guidance on Scoping, 2017</u>	ONLINE
158	<u>Environmental Impact Assessment of Projects – Guidance on the preparation, 2017</u>	ONLINE
159	<u>Environmental Assessment of Plans, Programmes and Projects – Rulings of the Court of Justice of the European Union, 2017</u>	ONLINE
160	<u>Environmental Assessment of Projects and Plans and Programmes, Rulings of the Court of Justice of the European Union, 10 October 2017</u>	ONLINE
161	<u>Evaluation of Directive 2001/42/EC on the assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment (the Strategic Environmental Assessment – SEA - Directive), Roadmap, 11 July 2017</u>	ONLINE
162	<u>COM(2017) 234, Report from the Commission to the Council and the European Parliament under Article 12(3) of Directive 2001/42/EC on the assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment, 15 May 2017</u>	ONLINE
163	<u>C/2016/4701, Commission notice — Commission guidance document on streamlining environmental assessments conducted under Article 2(3) of the Environmental Impact Assessment Directive (Directive 2011/92/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council, as amended by Directive 2014/52/EU), 27 July 2016</u>	ONLINE
164	<u>DIRECTIVE 2014/52/EU OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 16 April 2014 amending Directive 2011/92/EU on the assessment of the effects of certain public and private projects on the environment</u>	ONLINE
165	<u>DIRECTIVE 2011/92/EU OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE</u>	ONLINE

	<u>COUNCIL of 13 December 2011 on the assessment of the effects of certain public and private projects on the environment</u>	
166	<u>DIRECTIVE 2001/42/EC OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 27 June 2001 on the assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment (SEA Directive)</u>	ONLINE
167	<u>Convention on Environmental Impact Assessment in a Transboundary Context (ESPOO Convention), Finland 1991 (amended 27 February 2001)</u>	ONLINE
	2. EU Case law	
168	<u>Case C-24/19, Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber) of 25 June 2020, A and Others v Gewestelijke stedenbouwkundige ambtenaar van het departement Ruimte Vlaanderen, afdeling Oost-Vlaanderen</u>	ONLINE
169	<u>Case C-321/18, Judgment of the Court (First Chamber) of 12 June 2019, Terre wallonne ASBL v Région wallonne</u>	ONLINE
170	<u>Case C-411/17, Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber) of 29 July 2019, Inter-Environnement Wallonie ASBL and Bond Beter Leefmilieu Vlaanderen ASBL v Conseil des ministres</u>	ONLINE
171	<u>Case C-160/17, Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 7 June 2018 Raoul Thybaut and Others v Région wallonne</u>	ONLINE
172	<u>Case C-671/16, Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 7 June 2018 Inter-Environnement Bruxelles ASBL and Others v Région de Bruxelles-Capitale</u>	ONLINE
173	<u>Case C-97/17, Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 26 April 2018, European Commission v Republic of Bulgaria</u>	ONLINE
174	<u>Case C-441/17, Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber) of 17 April 2018, European Commission v Republic of Poland</u>	ONLINE
175	<u>Case C-323/17, Judgment of the Court (Seventh Chamber) of 12 April 2018, People Over Wind and Peter Sweetman v Coillte Teoranta</u>	ONLINE

176	<u>Case C-470/16 Judgment of the Court (First Chamber) of 15 March 2018, North East Pylon Pressure Campaign Limited and Maura Sheehy v An Bord Pleanála and Others</u>	ONLINE
177	<u>Case C-117/17, Judgment of the Court (Sixth Chamber) of 28 February 2018, Comune di Castelbellino v Regione Marche and Others</u>	ONLINE
178	<u>Joined Cases C-196/16 and C-197/16, Judgment of the Court (First Chamber) of 26 July 2017, Comune di Corridonia and Others v Provincia di Macerata and Provincia di Macerata Settore 10 – Ambiente</u>	ONLINE
179	<u>Case C-529/15 Judgment of the Court (First Chamber) of 1 June 2017 Proceedings brought by Gert Folk</u>	ONLINE
180	<u>C-444/15 Judgment of the Court (Third Chamber) of 21 December 2016, Associazione Italia Nostra Onlus v Comune di Venezia and Others</u>	ONLINE
181	<u>Case C-645/15 Judgment of the Court (Sixth Chamber) of 24 November 2016 Bund Naturschutz in Bayern e.V. and Harald Wilde v Freistaat Bayern</u>	ONLINE
182	<u>Case C-461/14 Judgment of the Court (Fifth Chamber) of 24 November 2016 European Commission v Kingdom of Spain</u>	ONLINE
183	<u>Case C-442/14 Judgment of the Court (Fifth Chamber) of 23 November 2016 Bayer CropScience SA-NV and Stichting De Bijenstichting v College voor de toelating van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen en biociden</u>	ONLINE
184	<u>C-348/15 Judgment of the Court (First Chamber) of 17 November 2016 Stadt Wiener Neustadt v Niederösterreichische Landesregierung</u>	ONLINE
185	<u>Case C-290/15 Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 27 October 2016 Patrice D'Oultremont and Others v Région wallonne</u>	ONLINE
186	<u>Case C-379/15 Judgment of the Court (First Chamber) of 28 July 2016 Association France Nature Environnement v Premier ministre and Ministre de l'Écologie, du Développement durable et de l'Énergie</u>	ONLINE
187	<u>Case C-141/14 Judgment of the Court (Third Chamber) of 14 January 2016 European Commission v Republic of Bulgaria</u>	ONLINE

188	<u>Case C-137/14 Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 15 October 2015</u> <u>European Commission v Federal Republic of Germany</u>	ONLINE
189	<u>Case C-473/14 Judgment of the Court (Ninth Chamber) of 10 September 2015</u> <u>Dimos Kropias Attikis v Ypourgos Perivallontos, Energeias kai Klimatikis Allagis</u>	ONLINE
190	<u>Case C-570/13 Judgment of the Court (Fifth Chamber) of 16 April 2015</u> <u>Karoline Gruber v Unabhängiger Verwaltungssenat für Kärnten and Others</u>	ONLINE
191	<u>Case C-531/13 Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 11 February 2015</u> <u>Marktgemeinde Straßwalchen and Others v Bundesminister für Wirtschaft,</u> <u>Familie und Jugend</u>	ONLINE
192	<u>Case C-244/12 Judgment of the Court (Fifth Chamber), 21 March 2013</u> <u>Salzburger Flughafen GmbH v Umweltsenat</u>	ONLINE
193	<u>Case C-420/11 Judgment of the Court (Fourth Chamber), 14 March 2013</u> <u>Jutta Leth v Republik Österreich, Land Niederösterreich</u>	ONLINE
194	<u>C-416/10 Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber) of 15 January 2013, Jozef</u> <u>Križan and Others v Slovenská inšpekcia životného prostredia.</u>	ONLINE
195	<u>C-295/10 Genovaitė Valčiukienė v Pakruojo rajono savivaldybė [2012]</u>	ONLINE
196	<u>C-567/10 Inter-Environnement Bruxelles ASBL v Région de Bruxelles-Capitale</u> <u>[2012]</u>	ONLINE
197	<u>C-105/09 and C-110/09 Terre wallonne ASBL v Région wallonne [2010]</u>	ONLINE
198	<u>C-128/09 Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber) of 18 October 2011,</u> <u>Antoine Boxus and Willy Roua (C-128/09), Guido Durlet and Others (C-</u> <u>129/09), Paul Fastrez and Henriette Fastrez (C-130/09), Philippe Daras (C-</u> <u>131/09), Association des riverains et habitants des communes proches de</u> <u>l'aéroport BSCA (Brussels South Charleroi Airport) (ARACH) (C-134/09 and C-</u> <u>135/09), Bernard Page (C-134/09) and Léon L'Hoir and Nadine Dartois (C-</u> <u>135/09) v Région wallonne.</u>	ONLINE
199	<u>C-75/08 Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 30 April 2009, The</u> <u>Queen, on the application of Christopher Mellor v Secretary of State for</u>	ONLINE

	<u>Communities and Local Government.</u>	
200	<u>C-142/07 Judgment of the Court (Third Chamber) of 25 July 2008, Ecologistas en Acción-CODA v Ayuntamiento de Madrid.</u>	ONLINE
201	<u>C-156/07 Order of the Court (Sixth Chamber) of 10 July 2008, Salvatore Aiello and Others v Regione Lombardia and Others</u>	ONLINE
202	<u>C-215/06 Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 3 July 2008, Commission of the European Communities v Ireland.</u>	ONLINE
203	<u>C-508/03 Judgment of the Court (First Chamber) of 4 May 2006, Commission of the European Communities v United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland.</u>	ONLINE
204	<u>C-290/03 Judgment of the Court (First Chamber) of 4 May 2006, The Queen, on the application of: Diane Barker v London Borough of Bromley.</u>	ONLINE
205	<u>C-227/01 Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 16 September 2004, Commission of the European Communities v Kingdom of Spain.</u>	ONLINE
206	<u>C-87/02 Judgment of the Court (First Chamber) of 10 June 2004, Commission of the European Communities v Italian Republic.</u>	ONLINE
207	<u>C-201/02 Judgment of the Court (Fifth Chamber) of 7 January 2004, The Queen, on the application of Delena Wells v Secretary of State for Transport, Local Government and the Regions.</u>	ONLINE
208	<u>C-230/00 Judgment of the Court (Third Chamber) of 14 June 2001, Commission of the European Communities v Kingdom of Belgium</u>	ONLINE
209	<u>C-392/96 Judgment of the Court (Fifth Chamber) of 21 September 1999, Commission of the European Communities v Ireland.</u>	ONLINE
210	<u>C-435/97 Judgment of the Court (Sixth Chamber) of 16 September 1999, World Wildlife Fund (WWF) and Others v Autonome Provinz Bozen and Others</u>	ONLINE
211	<u>C-301/95 Judgment of the Court (Sixth Chamber) of 22 October 1998, Commission of the European Communities v Federal Republic of Germany.</u>	ONLINE

212	<u>C-72/95 Judgment of the Court of 24 October 1996, Aannemersbedrijf P.K. Kraaijeveld BV e.a. v Gedeputeerde Staten van Zuid-Holland</u>	ONLINE
213	<u>C-133/94 Judgment of the Court (Sixth Chamber) of 2 May 1996, Commission of the European Communities v Kingdom of Belgium</u>	ONLINE
214	<u>C-431/92 Judgment of the Court of 11 August 1995, Commission of the European Communities v Federal Republic of Germany</u>	ONLINE
215	<u>C-186/91 Judgment of the Court of 10 March 1993, Commission of the European Communities v Kingdom of Belgium.</u>	ONLINE
216	<u>C-360/87 Judgment of the Court of 28 February 1991, Commission of the European Communities v Italian Republic</u>	ONLINE
217	<u>C-96/81 Judgment of the Court of 25 May 1982, Commission of the European Communities v Kingdom of the Netherlands</u>	ONLINE
	III. Environmental Liability	
	1. EU Documents	
218	<u>European Parliament resolution of 26 October 2017 on the application of Directive 2004/35/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 April 2004 on environmental liability with regard to the prevention and remedying of environmental damage (the 'ELD') (2016/2251(INI))</u>	ONLINE
219	<u>MULTI-ANNUAL ELD WORK PROGRAMME (MAWP) FOR THE PERIOD 2017-2020 "MAKING THE ENVIRONMENTAL LIABILITY DIRECTIVE MORE FIT FOR PURPOSE"</u>	ONLINE
220	<u>Report from the Commission to the Council and the European Parliament under Article 18(2) of Directive 2004/35/EC on environmental liability with regard to the prevention and remedying of environmental damage (COM(2016) 204 final)</u>	ONLINE
221	<u>Commission Staff Working Document REFIT Evaluation of the Environmental Liability Directive Accompanying the document Report from the Commission</u>	ONLINE

	<u>to the European Parliament and to the Council pursuant to Article 18(2) of Directive 2004/35/EC on environmental liability with regard to the prevention and remedying of environmental damage (SWD(2016) 121 final)</u>	
222	<u>Study to assess the benefits delivered through the enforcement of EU environmental legislation, Final report, September 2016</u>	ONLINE
223	<u>DIRECTIVE 2013/30/EU OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 12 June 2013 on safety of offshore oil and gas operations and amending Directive 2004/35/EC</u>	ONLINE
224	<u>Final Report: Implementation challenges and obstacles of the Environmental Liability Directive (ELD), European Commission - DG Environment, 16 May 2013</u>	ONLINE
225	<u>Environmental Liability Directive - Protecting Europe's Natural Resources, Brochure, European Commission 2013</u>	ONLINE
226	<u>Directive 2012/18/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 4 July 2012 on the control of major-accident hazards involving dangerous substances, amending and subsequently repealing Council Directive 96/82/EC</u>	ONLINE
227	<u>Regulation (EU) No 528/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 May 2012 concerning the making available on the market and use of biocidal products</u>	ONLINE
228	<u>COM(2010) 581 final, Report from the Commission to the Council, the European Parliament, The European Economic and Social Committee, and the Committee of the Regions under Article 14(2) of Directive 2004/35/CE on the environmental liability with regard to the prevention and remedying of environmental damage, 12 October 2010</u>	ONLINE
229	<u>DIRECTIVE 2009/31/EC OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 23 April 2009 on the geological storage of carbon dioxide and amending Council Directive 85/337/EEC, European Parliament and Council Directives 2000/60/EC, 2001/80/EC, 2004/35/EC, 2006/12/EC, 2008/1/EC and</u>	ONLINE

	<u>Regulation (EC) No 1013/2006</u>	
230	<u>Directive 2008/99/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 19 November 2008 on the protection of the environment through criminal law</u>	ONLINE
231	<u>DIRECTIVE 2004/35/CE OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 21 April 2004 on environmental liability with regard to the prevention and remedying of environmental damage (Environmental Liability Directive)</u>	ONLINE
	2. EU Case law	
232	<u>Case C-297/19, Judgment of the Court (First Chamber) of 9 July 2020, Naturschutzbund Deutschland — Landesverband Schleswig-Holstein eV v Kreis Nordfriesland</u>	ONLINE
233	<u>Case C-129/16, Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 13 July 2017, Túrkevei Tejtermelő Kft. v Országos Környezetvédelmi és Természetvédelmi Főfelügyelőség</u>	ONLINE
234	<u>C-534/13 JUDGMENT OF THE COURT (Third Chamber) of 4 March 2015. Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare v Fipa Group Srl.</u>	ONLINE
235	<u>C-379/08, Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber) of 9 March 2010, Raffinerie Mediterranee (ERG) SpA, Polimeri Europa SpA and Syndial SpA v Ministero dello Sviluppo economico and Others</u>	ONLINE
236	<u>Joined cases C-379/08 and C-380/08, Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber) of 9 March 2010, Raffinerie Mediterranee (ERG) SpA, Polimeri Europa SpA and Syndial SpA v Ministero dello Sviluppo economico and Others (C-379/08) and ENI SpA v Ministero Ambiente e Tutela del Territorio e del Mare and Others (C-380/08)</u>	ONLINE

C. Air Quality Directive and Procedural Rights, Industrial Emissions and Accidents

	I. The Air Quality Directive	
	1. EU Documents	
237	<u>Commission Staff Working Document, Fitness Check of the Ambient Air Quality Directives, Directive 2004/107/EC relating to arsenic, cadmium, mercury, nickel and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in ambient air and Directive 2008/50 on ambient air quality and cleaner air for Europe, SWD(2019) 427 final, 28.11.2019</u>	ONLINE
238	<u>COM(2018) 446 final, Report from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions – The First Clean Air Outlook, 7 June 2018</u>	ONLINE
239	<u>COM(2018) 330 final, Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions – A Europe that protects: Clean Air for all, 17 May 2018</u>	ONLINE
240	<u>Regulation (EU) 2017/852 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 May 2017 on mercury</u>	ONLINE
241	<u>Directive 2012/18/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 4 July 2012 on the control of major-accident hazards involving dangerous substances, amending and subsequently repealing Council Directive 96/82/EC</u>	ONLINE
242	<u>Council Decision (EU) 2017/1757 of 17 July 2017 on the acceptance on behalf of the European Union of an Amendment to the 1999 Protocol to the 1979 Convention on Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution to Abate Acidification, Eutrophication and Ground-Level Ozone</u>	ONLINE
243	<u>Directive (EU) 2016/2284 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 14 December 2016 on the reduction of national emissions of certain atmospheric pollutants, amending Directive 2003/35/EC and repealing</u>	ONLINE

	<u>Directive 2001/81/EC (National Emissions Ceilings (NEC))</u>	
244	<u>Directive (EU) 2016/802 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 May 2016 relating to a reduction in the sulphur content of certain liquid fuels</u>	ONLINE
245	<u>Directive (EU) 2015/2193 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2015 on the limitation of emissions of certain pollutants into the air from medium combustion plants</u>	ONLINE
246	<u>COM(2013) 918 final, Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, A Clean Air Programme for Europe, 18 December 2013</u>	ONLINE
247	<u>Commission Implementing Decision (2011/850/EU) of 12 December 2011 laying down rules for Directives 2004/107/EC and 2008/50/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards the reciprocal exchange of information and reporting on ambient air quality (notified under document C(2011) 9068)</u>	ONLINE
248	<u>Directive 2010/75/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 November 2010 on industrial emissions (integrated pollution prevention and control)</u>	ONLINE
249	<u>European Parliament and Council Directive 2009/126/EC (consolidated version) of 21 October 2009 on Stage II petrol vapour recovery during refuelling of motor vehicles at service stations</u>	ONLINE
250	<u>Directive 2008/50/EC (consolidated version) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 May 2008 on ambient air quality and cleaner air for Europe (Air Quality Directive)</u>	ONLINE
251	<u>Directive 2004/107/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 December 2004 relating to arsenic, cadmium, mercury, nickel and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in ambient air</u>	ONLINE

252	<u>Directive 2004/42/CE of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 April 2004 on the limitation of emissions of volatile organic compounds due to the use of organic solvents in certain paints and varnishes and vehicle refinishing products and amending Directives 1999/13/EC</u>	ONLINE
253	<u>Directive 2001/81/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2001 on national emission ceilings for certain atmospheric pollutants</u>	ONLINE
254	<u>European Parliament and Council Directive 94/63/EC (consolidated version) of 20 December 1994 on the control of volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions resulting from the storage of petrol and its distribution from terminals to service stations</u>	ONLINE
255	<u>Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2015/2119 of 20 November 2015 establishing best available techniques (BAT) conclusions, under Directive 2010/75/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council, for the production of wood-based panels (notified under document C(2015) 8062)</u>	ONLINE
256	<u>Commission Implementing Decision 2014/738/EU of 9 October 2014 establishing best available techniques (BAT) conclusions, under Directive 2010/75/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council on industrial emissions, for the refining of mineral oil and gas (notified under document C(2014) 7155)</u>	ONLINE
257	<u>Commission Implementing Decision 2014/687/EU of 26 September 2014 establishing the best available techniques (BAT) conclusions, under Directive 2010/75/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council, for the production of pulp, paper and board (notified under document C(2014) 6750)</u>	ONLINE
258	<u>Commission Implementing Decision 2013/84/EU of 11 February 2013 establishing the best available techniques (BAT) conclusions under Directive 2010/75/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council on industrial emissions for the tanning of hides and skins (notified under document C(2013) 618)</u>	ONLINE

259	<u>Commission Implementing Decision 2012/119/EU of 10 February 2012 laying down rules concerning guidance on the collection of data and on the drawing up of BAT reference documents and on their quality assurance referred to in Directive 2010/75/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council on industrial emissions (notified under document C(2012) 613)</u>	ONLINE
260	<u>Commission Implementing Decision 2012/135/EU of 28 February 2012 establishing the best available techniques (BAT) conclusions under Directive 2010/75/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council on industrial emissions for iron and steel production (notified under document C(2012) 903)</u>	ONLINE
261	<u>COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING DECISION 2012/134/EU of 28 February 2012 establishing the best available techniques (BAT) conclusions under Directive 2010/75/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council on industrial emissions for the manufacture of glass</u>	ONLINE
262	<u>Commission Implementing Decision 2012/795/EU of 12 December 2012 establishing the type, format and frequency of information to be made available by the Member States for the purposes of reporting on the implementation of Directive 2010/75/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council on industrial emissions (notified under document C(2012) 9181)</u>	ONLINE
263	<u>Application of IED Article 15(4) derogations, Final Report, European Commission Directorate-General Environment, March 2018</u>	ONLINE
	2. EU Case Law	
264	<u>Case C-638/18, Judgment of the Court of 30 April 2020, Commission v Romania (Dépassement des valeurs limites pour les PM10)</u>	ONLINE
265	<u>Case C-723/17, Judgment of the Court (First Chamber) of 26 June 2019, Lies Craeynest and Others v Brussels Hoofdstedelijk Gewest and Brussels Instituut voor Milieubeheer</u>	ONLINE
266	<u>Case C-336/16, Judgment of the Court (Third Chamber) of 22 February 2018,</u>	ONLINE

	<u>European Commission v Republic of Poland</u>	
267	<u>Case C-129/16, Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 13 July 2017, Túrkevei Tejtermelő Kft. v Országos Környezetvédelmi és Természetvédelmi Főfelügyelőség</u>	ONLINE
268	<u>Case C-488/15, Judgment of the Court (Third Chamber) of 5 April 2017, European Commission v Republic of Bulgaria</u>	ONLINE
269	<u>Case C-290/15, Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 27 October 2016, Patrice D'Oultremont and Others v Région wallonne</u>	ONLINE
270	<u>Case C-304/15, Judgment of the Court (Seventh Chamber) of 21 September 2016, European Commission v United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland</u>	ONLINE
271	<u>Case C-137/14, Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 15 October 2015, European Commission v Federal Republic of Germany</u>	ONLINE
272	<u>Case E-7/15, Judgement of the EFTA Court delivered in open court on 2 October 2015, EFTA Surveillance Authority v The Kingdom of Norway</u>	ONLINE
273	<u>Case N° 369428, Judgement of the Council of State (Conseil d'État) of France, 6th / 1st United Subsection (Sous-Sections réunies (SSR)) of 10 June 2015 (available only in French)</u>	ONLINE
274	<u>C-404/13, Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 19 November 2014, The Queen, on the application of ClientEarth v Secretary of State for the Environment, Food and Rural Affairs.</u>	ONLINE
275	<u>Judgement of the Supreme Federal Administrative Court of Germany (Bundesverwaltungsgericht) of 5 September 2013 in Case [2013] C 21.12, "Darmstadt/Germany" case (available only in German)</u>	ONLINE
276	<u>C-420/11, Judgment of the Court (Fourth Chamber) of 14 March 2013, Jutta Leth v Republik Österreich and Land Niederösterreich</u>	ONLINE
277	<u>C-68/11, Judgment of the Court (First Chamber) of 19 December 2012, European Commission v Italian Republic</u>	ONLINE

278	<u>C-34/11, Judgment of the Court (First Chamber) of 15 November 2012, European Commission v Portuguese Republic</u>	ONLINE
279	<u>C-120/10, Judgment of the Court (First Chamber) of 8 September 2011, European Air Transport SA v Collège d'Environnement de la Région de Bruxelles-Capitale and Région de Bruxelles-Capitale, Reference for a preliminary ruling: Conseil d'État - Belgium</u>	ONLINE
280	<u>C-240/09, Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber) of 8 March 2011, Lesoochránárske zoskupenie VLK v Ministerstvo životného prostredia Slovenskej republiky</u>	ONLINE
281	<u>Joined cases C-165/09 to C-167/09, Judgment of the Court (First Chamber) of 26 May 2011, Stichting Natuur en Milieu and Others v College van Gedeputeerde Staten van Groningen (C-165/09) and College van Gedeputeerde Staten van Zuid-Holland (C-166/09 and C-167/09)</u>	ONLINE
282	<u>C-115/09, Judgment of the Court (Fourth Chamber) of 12 May 2011, Bund für Umwelt und Naturschutz Deutschland, Landesverband Nordrhein-Westfalen eV v Bezirksregierung Arnsberg</u>	ONLINE
283	<u>C-28/09, Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber) of 21 December 2011, European Commission v Republic of Austria</u>	ONLINE
284	<u>C-263/08, Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 15 October 2009, Djurgården-Lilla Värtans Miljöskyddsförening v Stockholms kommun genom dess marknämnd</u>	ONLINE
285	<u>C-237/07, Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 25 July 2008, Dieter Janecek v Freistaat Bayern.</u>	ONLINE
286	<u>C-138/06, Judgment of the Court (Fifth Chamber) of 14 December 2006, Commission of the European Communities v United Kingdom</u>	ONLINE
287	<u>C-78/06, Judgment of the Court (Fifth Chamber) of 7 December 2006 – Commission v Luxembourg (French)</u>	ONLINE
288	<u>C-320/03, Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber) of 15 November 2005,</u>	ONLINE

	<u>Commission of the European Communities v Republic of Austria</u>	
289	<u>C-361/88, Judgment of the Court of 30 May 1991, Commission of the European Communities v Federal Republic of Germany</u>	ONLINE
290	<u>C- 6/64, Judgment of the Court of 15 July 1964, Flaminio Costa v E.N.E.L.</u>	ONLINE
291	<u>C-25/62, Judgment of the Court of 15 July 1963, Plaumann & Co. v Commission of the European Economic Community</u>	ONLINE
	II. Procedural Rights in environmental matters	
	1. EU Documents	
292	<u>European Commission, Citizen's Guide to Access to Justice in Environmental Matters, 28 September 2018</u>	ONLINE
293	<u>COM(2018) 10 final, Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, "EU actions to improve environmental compliance and governance", 18 January 2018</u>	ONLINE
294	<u>Briefing, European Parliament, Implementing the Aarhus Convention – Access to justice in environmental matters, October 2017</u>	ONLINE
295	<u>C(2017) 2616 final, Communication from the Commission of 28 April 2017 - Commission Notice on Access to Justice in Environmental Matters</u>	ONLINE
296	<u>Peters, R.L., Snethlage, M., Van Gossum, H., Vancauwenberghe, G., Vandenbroucke, Veronika Mikos, Amor Torre-Marín, D., Vertriest, L., & Lammerant, J., "Active dissemination of environmental information in relation to the Birds and Habitats Directive: Final Report", September 2014</u>	ONLINE
297	<u>Standing up for your right(s) in Europe, A Comparative study on Legal Standing (Locus Standi) before the EU and Member States' Courts, Study of the Policy Department C, 2012</u>	ONLINE
298	<u>REGULATION (EC) No 1367/2006 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 6 September 2006 on the application of the provisions of</u>	ONLINE

	<u>the Aarhus Convention on Access to Information, Public Participation in Decision-making and Access to Justice in Environmental Matters to Community institutions and bodies</u>	
299	<u>DIRECTIVE 2003/4/EC OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 28 January 2003 on public access to environmental information and repealing Council Directive 90/313/EEC</u>	ONLINE
300	<u>DIRECTIVE 2003/35/EC OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 26 May 2003 providing for public participation in respect of the drawing up of certain plans and programmes relating to the environment and amending with regard to public participation and access to justice Council Directives 85/337/EEC and 96/61/EC</u>	ONLINE
301	<u>REGULATION (EC) No 1049/2001 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 30 May 2001 regarding public access to European Parliament, Council and Commission documents</u>	ONLINE
302	<u>Recommendation 2001/331/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 4 April 2001 providing for minimum criteria for environmental inspections in the Member States</u>	ONLINE
	2. International documents	
303	<u>Aarhus Convention: An implementation guide, United Nations Economic Commission for Europe, 2014</u>	ONLINE
304	<u>Convention on Access to Information, Public Participation in Decision-Making and Access to Justice in Environmental Matters (Aarhus Convention), 25 June 1998</u>	ONLINE
	3. EU Case Law	
305	<u>Case C-535/18, Judgment of the Court (First Chamber) of 28 May 2020, IL and Others v Land Nordrhein-Westfalen</u>	ONLINE
306	<u>Judgement of 15 March 2018, C-470/16 North East Pylon Pressure Campaign Limited and Maura Sheehy v An Bord Pleanála and Others</u>	ONLINE

307	<u>Case C-664/15, Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 20 December 2017, Protect Natur-, Arten- und Landschaftsschutz Umweltorganisation v Bezirkshauptmannschaft Gmünd</u>	ONLINE
308	<u>Judgement of 19 October 2017, C-281/16 - Vereniging Hoekschewaards Landschap</u>	ONLINE
309	<u>Judgement of 26 July 2017, C-196/16 and C-197/2016, Commune di Corridonia, ECLI:EU:C:2017:589</u>	ONLINE
310	<u>Case C-60/15, Judgment of the Court (Fifth Chamber) of 13 July 2017, Saint-Gobain Glass Deutschland GmbH v European Commission</u>	ONLINE
311	<u>Case C-529/15 Judgment of the Court (First Chamber) of 1 June 2017 Gert Folk</u>	ONLINE
312	<u>Case C-673/13 P, Judgment of the Court (Fifth Chamber), European Commission v Stichting Greenpeace Nederland and Pesticide Action Network Europe (PAN Europe)</u>	ONLINE
313	<u>Case C-243/15, Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber) of 8 November 2016, Lesoochranské zoskupenie VLK v Obvodný úrad Trenčín</u>	ONLINE
314	<u>C-137/14 Opinion of Advocate General Wathelet of 21 May 2015. Commission v Germany. (FR)</u>	ONLINE
315	<u>C-570/13 Judgment of the Court (Fifth Chamber) of 16 April 2015. Gruber.</u>	ONLINE
316	<u>C-71/14 Opinion of Advocate General Sharpston of 16 April 2015. East Sussex County Council v Information Commissioner.</u>	ONLINE
317	<u>C-329/13 Order of the Court (Ninth Chamber) of 8 May 2014. Ferdinand Stefan v Bundesministerium für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Umwelt und Wasserwirtschaft.</u>	ONLINE
318	<u>C-206/13 Judgment of the Court (Tenth Chamber) of 6 March 2014. Siragusa v Regione Sicilia – Soprintendenza Beni Culturali e Ambientali di Palermo.</u>	ONLINE
319	<u>C-530/11 Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 13 February 2014.</u>	ONLINE

	<u>Commission v United Kingdom.</u>	
320	<u>C-279/12 Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber) of 19 December 2013.</u> <u>Fish Legal, Emily Shirley v The Information Commissioner, United Utilities,</u> <u>Yorkshire Water and Southern Water.</u>	ONLINE
321	<u>C-72/12, Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 7 November 2013,</u> <u>Gemeinde Altrip and Others v Land Rheinland-Pfalz</u>	ONLINE
322	<u>C-420/11 Judgment of the Court (Fourth Chamber) of 14 March 2013. Leth v</u> <u>Republik Österreich and Land Niederösterreich.</u>	ONLINE
323	<u>C-416/10, Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber) of 15 January 2013, Jozef</u> <u>Križan and Others v Slovenská inšpekcia životného prostredia.</u>	ONLINE
324	<u>T-62/12 Order of the General Court (Sixth Chamber) of 8 October 2012,</u> <u>ClientEarth v Council of the European Union.</u>	ONLINE
325	<u>T-111/11 ClientEarth v Commission.</u>	ONLINE
326	<u>C-135/11 P Judgment of the Court (Third Chamber) of 21 June 2012, IFAW</u> <u>Internationaler Tierschutz-Fonds gGmbH v European Commission.</u>	ONLINE
327	<u>C-204/09 Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber) of 14 February 2012,</u> <u>Flachglas Torgau GmbH v Bundesrepublik Deutschland.</u>	ONLINE
328	<u>C-71/10 Judgment of the Court (Third Chamber) of 28 July 2011. Office of</u> <u>Communications v Information Commissioner.</u>	ONLINE
329	<u>T-233/09 Judgment of the General Court (Third Chamber) of 22 March 2011,</u> <u>Access Info Europe v Council of the European Union.</u>	ONLINE
330	<u>C-240/09 Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber) of 8 March 2011,</u> <u>Lesoochránárske zoskupenie VLK v Ministerstvo životného prostredia</u> <u>Slovenskej republiky.</u>	ONLINE
331	<u>C-115/09, Judgment of the Court (Fourth Chamber) of 12 May 2011, Bund</u> <u>für Umwelt und Naturschutz Deutschland, Landesverband Nordrhein-</u> <u>Westfalen eV v Bezirksregierung Arnsberg.</u>	ONLINE

332	<u>T-362/08 Judgment of the General Court (Eighth Chamber) of 13 January 2011, IFAW Internationaler Tierschutz-Fonds gGmbH v European Commission</u>	ONLINE
333	<u>C-524/09 Judgment of the Court (Fourth Chamber) of 22 December 2010, Ville de Lyon v Caisse des dépôts et consignations.</u>	ONLINE
334	<u>C-266/09 Judgment of the Court (Fourth Chamber) of 16 December 2010, Stichting Natuur en Milieu and Others v College voor de toelating van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen en biociden.</u>	ONLINE
335	<u>C-28/08 P Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber) of 29 June 2010, European Commission v The Bavarian Lager Co. Ltd.</u>	ONLINE
336	<u>C-263/08 Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 15 October 2009. Djurgården-Lilla Värtans Miljöskyddsförening v Stockholms kommun genom dess marknämnd.</u>	ONLINE
337	<u>C-427/07 Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 16 July 2009. Commission v Ireland.</u>	ONLINE
338	<u>T-121/05 Judgment of the Court of First Instance (Seventh Chamber) of 11 March 2009, Borax Europe Ltd v Commission of the European Communities.</u>	ONLINE
339	<u>T-166/05 Judgment of the Court of First Instance (Seventh Chamber) of 11 March 2009, Borax Europe Ltd v Commission of the European Communities.</u>	ONLINE
340	<u>C-552/07 Judgment of the Court (Fourth Chamber) of 17 February 2009, Commune de Sausheim v Pierre Azelvandre.</u>	ONLINE
341	<u>C-39/05 P Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber) of 1 July 2008, Kingdom of Sweden and Maurizio Turco v Council of the European Union</u>	ONLINE
342	<u>C-344/04 Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber) of 10 January 2006, The Queen, on the application of International Air Transport Association and European Low Fares Airline Association v Department for Transport.</u>	ONLINE
343	<u>C-321/96 Judgment of the Court (Sixth Chamber) of 17 June 1998, Wilhelm Mecklenburg v Kreis Pinneberg - Der Landrat.</u>	ONLINE

344	<u>C-321/95 P Judgment of the Court of 2 April 1998, Stichting Greenpeace Council (Greenpeace International) and Others v Commission of the European Communities</u>	ONLINE
345	<u>T-219/95 Order of the President of the Court of First Instance of 22 December 1995, Marie-Thérèse Danielsson, Pierre Largenteau and Edwin Haoa v Commission of the European Communities.</u>	ONLINE
346	<u>T-585/93 Order of the Court of First Instance (First Chamber) of 9 August 1995, Stichting Greenpeace Council (Greenpeace International) and others v Commission of the European Communities.</u>	ONLINE
347	<u>C-25/62 Judgment of the Court of 15 July 1963, Plaumann & Co. v Commission of the European Economic Community.</u>	ONLINE
	III. Industrial Emissions	
	1. EU Documents	
348	<u>Directive (EU) 2015/2193 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2015 on the limitation of emissions of certain pollutants into the air from medium combustion plants</u>	ONLINE
349	<u>COMMISSION DECISION 2011/C 146/03 of 16 May 2011 establishing a forum for the exchange of information pursuant to Article 13 of the Directive 2010/75/EU on industrial emissions</u>	ONLINE
350	<u>Directive 2010/75/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 November 2010 on industrial emissions (integrated pollution prevention and control)</u>	ONLINE
351	<u>Directive 2009/126/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 on Stage II petrol vapour recovery during refuelling of motor vehicles at service stations</u>	ONLINE
352	<u>Regulation (EC) No 166/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 January 2006 concerning the establishment of a European Pollutant Release and Transfer Register and amending Council Directives 91/689/EEC</u>	ONLINE

	<u>and 96/61/EC</u>	
353	<u>European Parliament and Council Directive 94/63/EC of 20 December 1994 on the control of volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions resulting from the storage of petrol and its distribution from terminals to service stations</u>	ONLINE
354	<u>Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2018/1135 of 10 August 2018 establishing the type, format and frequency of information to be made available by the Member States for the purposes of reporting on the implementation of Directive 2010/75/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council on industrial emissions (notified under document C(2018) 5009)</u>	ONLINE
355	<u>Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2015/2119 of 20 November 2015 establishing best available techniques (BAT) conclusions, under Directive 2010/75/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council, for the production of wood-based panels (notified under document C(2015) 8062)</u>	ONLINE
356	<u>Commission Implementing Decision 2014/738/EU of 9 October 2014 establishing best available techniques (BAT) conclusions, under Directive 2010/75/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council on industrial emissions, for the refining of mineral oil and gas (notified under document C(2014) 7155)</u>	ONLINE
357	<u>Commission Implementing Decision 2014/687/EU of 26 September 2014 establishing the best available techniques (BAT) conclusions, under Directive 2010/75/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council, for the production of pulp, paper and board (notified under document C(2014) 6750)</u>	ONLINE
358	<u>Commission Implementing Decision 2013/84/EU of 11 February 2013 establishing the best available techniques (BAT) conclusions under Directive 2010/75/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council on industrial emissions for the tanning of hides and skins (notified under document C(2013) 618)</u>	ONLINE
359	<u>Commission Implementing Decision 2012/119/EU of 10 February 2012 laying down rules concerning guidance on the collection of data and on the drawing</u>	ONLINE

	<u>up of BAT reference documents and on their quality assurance referred to in Directive 2010/75/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council on industrial emissions (notified under document C(2012) 613)</u>	
360	<u>Commission Implementing Decision 2012/135/EU of 28 February 2012 establishing the best available techniques (BAT) conclusions under Directive 2010/75/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council on industrial emissions for iron and steel production (notified under document C(2012) 903)</u>	ONLINE
361	<u>COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING DECISION 2012/134/EU of 28 February 2012 establishing the best available techniques (BAT) conclusions under Directive 2010/75/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council on industrial emissions for the manufacture of glass</u>	ONLINE
362	<u>Commission Implementing Decision 2012/795/EU of 12 December 2012 establishing the type, format and frequency of information to be made available by the Member States for the purposes of reporting on the implementation of Directive 2010/75/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council on industrial emissions (notified under document C(2012) 9181)</u>	ONLINE
363	<u>Application of IED Article 15(4) derogations, Final Report, European Commission Directorate-General Environment, March 2018</u>	ONLINE
364	<u>REGULATION (EC) No 1367/2006 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 6 September 2006 on the application of the provisions of the Aarhus Convention on Access to Information, Public Participation in Decision-making and Access to Justice in Environmental Matters to Community institutions and bodies</u>	ONLINE
365	<u>Convention on Access to Information, Public Participation in Decision-Making and Access to Justice in Environmental Matters (Aarhus Convention), 25 June 1998</u>	ONLINE
	2. EU Case Law	
366	<u>Case C-304/15, Judgment of the Court (Seventh Chamber) of 21 September</u>	ONLINE

	<u>2016, European Commission v United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland</u>	
367	<u>Case C-506/14, Judgment of the Court (Sixth Chamber) of 26 October 2016, Yara Suomi Oy and Others v Työ-ja elinkeinoministeriö</u>	ONLINE
368	<u>Case C-137/14, Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 15 October 2015, European Commission v Federal Republic of Germany</u>	ONLINE
369	<u>C-442/14, Judgment of the Court (Fifth Chamber) of 23 November 2016, Bayer CropScience SA-NV and Stichting De Bijenstichting v College voor de toelating van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen en biociden</u>	ONLINE
370	<u>Case C-81/14, Judgment of the Court (Fifth Chamber) of 10 September 2015, Nannoka Vulcanus Industries BV v College van gedeputeerde staten van Gelderland</u>	ONLINE
371	<u>T-565/14, Order of the General Court (Seventh Chamber) of 17 July 2015, European Environmental Bureau (EEB) v European Commission</u>	ONLINE
372	<u>C-243/13 Judgment of the Court (Fifth Chamber) of 4 December 2014. COM v Sweden (FR)</u>	ONLINE
373	<u>C-158/12 Judgment of the Court (Seventh Chamber) of 11 of April 2013. COM v Ireland.</u>	ONLINE
374	<u>C-260/11 Judgment of the Court (Fourth Chamber) of 11 April 2013. Edwards and Pallikaropoulos.</u>	ONLINE
375	<u>C-416/11 Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber) of 15 January 2013. Krizan and Others.</u>	ONLINE
376	<u>C-1165-167/09 Judgment of the Court (Fifth Chamber) of 26 May 2011. Stichting Natuur en Milieu and Others v College van Gedeputeerde Staten van Groningen.</u>	ONLINE
	3. Reports, Guidelines, Documents, studies	
377	<u>Report from the Commission to the Council and the European Parliament on</u>	ONLINE

	implementation of Directive 2010/75/EU and final reports on its predecessor legislation, 4.12.2017	
378	REPORT FROM THE COMMISSION TO THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL Report from the Commission on the reviews undertaken under Article 30(9) and Article 73 of Directive 2010/75/EU on industrial emissions addressing emissions from intensive livestock rearing and combustion plants	ONLINE
379	2012/119/EU: Commission Implementing Decision of 10 February 2012 laying down rules concerning guidance on the collection of data and on the drawing up of BAT reference documents and on their quality assurance referred to in Directive 2010/75/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council on industrial emissions (notified under document C(2012) 613) Text with EEA relevance	ONLINE
380	Communication from the Commission — European Commission Guidance concerning baseline reports under Article 22(2) of Directive 2010/75/EU on industrial emissions	ONLINE
381	Commission Decision of 16 May 2011 establishing a forum for the exchange of information pursuant to Article 13 of the Directive 2010/75/EU on industrial emissions	ONLINE
382	European Commission, Citizen's Guide to Access to Justice in Environmental Matters, 28 September 2018	ONLINE
383	C(2017) 2616 final, Communication from the Commission of 28 April 2017 - Commission Notice on Access to Justice in Environmental Matters	ONLINE

D. Waste Law and Water Protection

	I. Waste management	
	1. EU Policy Documents	

384	<u>European Commission, Circular Economy Action Plan – For a cleaner and more competitive Europe, March 2020</u>	ONLINE
385	<u>Report from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and social Committee and the Committee of the Regions on the implementation and the impact on the environment and the functioning of the internal market of Directive 2006/66/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 6 September 2006 on batteries and accumulators and waste batteries and accumulators and repealing Directive 91/157/EEC, Brussels, 9.4.2019, COM(2019) 166 final</u>	ONLINE
386	<u>Commission Staff Working Document on the evaluation of the Directive 2006/66/EC on batteries and accumulators and waste batteries and accumulators and repealing Directive 91/157/EEC, Brussels, 9.4.2019, COM(2019) 1300 final</u>	ONLINE
387	<u>Report from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions on the implementation of the Circular Economy Action Plan, Brussels, 4.3.2019, COM(2019) 190 final</u>	ONLINE
388	<u>Commission notice on technical guidance on the classification of waste (2018/C 124/01), 9 April 2018</u>	ONLINE
389	<u>Notice to stakeholders withdrawal of the United Kingdom an EU waste law, 8 February 2018</u>	ONLINE
390	<u>COM(2018) 32 final, Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions on the implementation of the circular economy package: options to address the interface between chemical, product and waste legislation, 16 January 2018</u>	ONLINE
391	<u>COM(2018) 29 final, Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions on a monitoring framework for the circular</u>	ONLINE

	<u>economy, 16 January 2018</u>	
392	<u>COM(2018) 28 final, Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions - A European Strategy for Plastics in a Circular Economy, 16 January 2018</u>	ONLINE
393	<u>COM(2018) 35 final, Report from the Commission to the European Parliament and the Council, on the impact of the use of oxo-degradable plastic, including oxo-degradable plastic carrier bags, on the environment, 16 January 2018</u>	ONLINE
394	<u>COM(2017) 34 final, Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, The role of waste-to-energy in the circular economy, 26 January 2017</u>	ONLINE
395	<u>COM(2017) 33 final, Report from the Commission on the to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions on the implementation of the Circular Economy Action Plan, 26 January 2017</u>	ONLINE
396	<u>Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions. Closing the loop - An EU action plan for the Circular Economy, COM/2015/0614 final 02.12.2015, Circular Economy Package (2015)</u>	ONLINE
	2. EU Legislation	
397	<u>Directive (EU) 2018/852 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2018 amending Directive 94/62/EC on packaging and packaging waste (Text with EEA relevance)</u>	ONLINE
398	<u>Directive (EU) 2018/851 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2018 amending Directive 2008/98/EC on waste (Text with EEA relevance)</u>	ONLINE
399	<u>Directive (EU) 2018/850 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2018 amending Directive 1999/31/EC on the landfill of waste (Text with</u>	ONLINE

	<u>EEA relevance)</u>	
400	<u>Directive (EU) 2018/849 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2018 amending Directives 2000/53/EC on end-of-life vehicles, 2006/66/EC on batteries and accumulators and waste batteries and accumulators, and 2012/19/EU on waste electrical and electronic equipment (Text with EEA relevance)</u>	ONLINE
401	<u>COM(2018) 340 final, Proposal for a DIRECTIVE OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL on the reduction of the impact of certain plastic products on the environment, 28 May 2018 (Proposal single-use plastics Directive)</u>	ONLINE
402	<u>COM(2018) 33 final, Proposal for a DIRECTIVE OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL on port reception facilities for the delivery of waste from ships, repealing Directive 2000/59/EC and amending Directive 2009/16/EC and Directive 2010/65/EU, 16 January 2018</u>	ONLINE
403	<u>Commission Directive (EU) 2015/1127 of 10 July 2015 amending Annex II to Directive 2008/98/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council on waste and repealing certain Directives</u>	ONLINE
404	<u>Commission Decision of 18 December 2014 amending Decision 2000/532/EC on the list of waste pursuant to Directive 2008/98/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council, 2014/955/EU</u>	ONLINE
405	<u>REGULATION (EU) No 1257/2013 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 20 November 2013 on ship recycling and amending Regulation (EC) No 1013/2006 and Directive 2009/16/EC</u>	ONLINE
406	<u>COMMISSION REGULATION (EU) No 1179/2012 of 10 December 2012 establishing criteria determining when glass cullet ceases to be waste under Directive 2008/98/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council</u>	ONLINE
407	<u>COUNCIL REGULATION (EU) No 333/2011 of 31 March 2011 establishing criteria determining when certain types of scrap metal cease to be waste under</u>	ONLINE

	<u>Directive 2008/98/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council</u>	
408	<u>DIRECTIVE 2012/19/EU OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 4 July 2012 on waste electrical and electronic equipment (WEEE)</u>	ONLINE
409	<u>Directive 2011/65/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2011 on the restriction of the use of certain hazardous substances in electrical and electronic equipment</u>	ONLINE
410	<u>DIRECTIVE 2008/98/EC OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 19 November 2008 on waste and repealing certain Directives (repealed: Directive 2006/12/EC, 91/689/EEC and 75/439/EEC) (Waste Framework Directive)</u>	ONLINE
411	<u>Directive 2006/21/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 March 2006 on the management of waste from extractive industries and amending Directive 2004/35/EC - Statement by the European Parliament, the Council and the Commission</u>	ONLINE
412	<u>DIRECTIVE 2006/66/EC OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 6 September 2006 on batteries and accumulators and waste batteries and accumulators and repealing Directive 91/157/EEC</u>	ONLINE
413	<u>REGULATION (EC) No 1013/2006 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 14 June 2006 on shipments of waste</u>	ONLINE
414	<u>DIRECTIVE 2000/53/EC OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 18 September 2000 on end-of life vehicles</u>	ONLINE
415	<u>COUNCIL DIRECTIVE 1999/31/EC of 26 April 1999 on the landfill of waste</u>	ONLINE
416	<u>European Parliament and Council Directive 94/62/EC of 20 December 1994 on packaging and packaging waste</u>	ONLINE
417	<u>Council Directive 86/278/EEC (consolidated version) of 12 June 1986 on the protection of the environment, and in particular of the soil, when sewage sludge is used in agriculture</u>	ONLINE
	3. EU Case law	

418	<u>Case 21/19, Judgment of the Court (Fifth Chamber) of 3 September 2020, Criminal proceedings against XN and Others</u>	ONLINE
419	<u>Case C-654/18, Judgment of the Court (Fifth Chamber) of 28 May 2020 Interseroh Dienstleistungs GmbH v SAA Sonderabfallagentur Baden-Württemberg Gmb</u>	ONLINE
420	<u>Case C-15/19, Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 14 May 2020, A.m.a. - Azienda Municipale Ambiente SpA v Consorzio Laziale Rifiuti – Co.La.Ri.</u>	ONLINE
421	<u>Case C-248/19, Judgment of the Court (Sixth Chamber) of 5 March 2020, European Commission v Republic of Cyprus</u>	ONLINE
422	<u>Case C-642/18, Judgment of the Court (Eighth Chamber) of 5 December 2019, European Commission v Kingdom of Spain</u>	ONLINE
423	<u>Case C-434/18, Judgment of the Court (Ninth Chamber) of 11 July 2019, European Commission v Italian Republic</u>	ONLINE
424	<u>Case C-624/17, Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 4 July 2019, Openbaar Ministerie v Tronex BV</u>	ONLINE
425	<u>C-634/17 Judgement of the Court (Fifth Chamber) of 23 May 2019, ReFood GmbH & Co. KG v Landwirtschaftskammer Niedersachsen</u>	ONLINE
426	<u>C-689/17 Judgement of the Court (Fifth Chamber) of 16 May 2019, Conti 11. Container Schifffahrts-GmbH & Co. KG Ms 'MSC Flaminia' v Land Niedersachsen</u>	ONLINE
427	<u>Case C-305/18, Judgment of the Court (Sixth Chamber) of 8 May 2019, Associazione "Verdi Ambiente e Società - Aps Onlus" (VAS) and "Movimento Legge Rifiuti Zero per l'Economia Circolare" Aps v Presidente del Consiglio dei Ministri and Others</u>	ONLINE
428	<u>Case C-250/18, Judgment of the Court (Eighth Chamber) of 2 May 2019, European Commission v Republic of Croatia</u>	ONLINE

429	<u>C-60/18 Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 28 March 2019, Tallinna Vesi AS v Keskkonnaamet</u>	ONLINE
430	<u>Joined Cases C-487/17 to C-489/17 Judgement of the Court (Tenth Chamber) of 28 March 2019, Alfonso Verlezza</u>	ONLINE
431	<u>C-498/17 Judgement of the Court (Fifth Chamber) of 21 March 2019, European Commission v Italian Republic</u>	ONLINE
432	<u>C-399/17 Judgement of the Court (First Chamber) of 14 March 2019, European Commission v Czech Republic</u>	ONLINE
433	<u>C-626/16 Judgement of the Court (Fourth Chamber) of 4 July 2018, European Commission v Slovak Republic</u>	ONLINE
434	<u>C-104/17, Judgement of the Court (Ninth Chamber) of 15 March 2018, SC Cali Esprou SRL v Administrația Fondului pentru Mediu</u>	ONLINE
435	<u>C-335/16 Judgement of the Court (Sixth Chamber) of 30 March 2017, VG Čistoća d.o.o. v Đuro Vladika and Ljubica Vladika</u>	ONLINE
436	<u>C-313/15 Judgment of the Court (Third Chamber) of 10 November 2016, Eco-Emballages SA and Others v Sphère France SAS and Others and Melitta France SAS and Others v Ministre de l'Écologie, du Développement durable et de l'Énergie</u>	ONLINE
437	<u>C-147/15 Judgment of the Court (Fourth Chamber) of 28 July 2016, Città Metropolitana di Bari, formerly Provincia di Bari v Edilizia Mastrodonato Srl</u>	ONLINE
438	<u>C-69/15 Judgment of the Court (Fourth Chamber) of 9 June 2016, Nutrivet D.O.O.E.L. v Országos Környezetvédelmi és Természetvédelmi Főfelügyelőség</u>	ONLINE
439	<u>C-487/14 Judgment of the Court (Seventh Chamber) of 26 November 2015, SC Total Waste Recycling SRL v Országos Környezetvédelmi és Természetvédelmi Főfelügyelőség</u>	ONLINE
440	<u>C-198/14 Judgment of the Court (Fifth Chamber) of 12 November 2015, Valev Visnapuu v Kihlakunnansyyttäjä and Suomen valtio - Tullihallitus</u>	ONLINE

441	<u>C-369/14 Judgment of the Court (Third Chamber) of 16 July 2015, Sommer Antriebs- und Funktechnik GmbH v Rademacher Geräte-Elektronik GmbH & Co. KG</u>	ONLINE
442	<u>C- 551/13 Judgment of the Court (Sixth Chamber) of 18 December 2014. SETAR v Comune di Quartu S. Elena.</u>	ONLINE
443	<u>C-292/12 Judgment of the Court (Fifth Chamber) of 19 December 2013. Ragn-Sells AS v Sillamäe Linnavalitsus.</u>	ONLINE
444	<u>Joined cases C-241/12 and C-242/12 Judgment of the Court (First Chamber) of 12 December 2013. Shell Nederland Verkoopmaatschappij BV et Belgian Shell NV.</u>	ONLINE
445	<u>C-113/12 Judgment of the Court (Fourth Chamber) of 3 October 2013. Donal Brady v Environmental Protection Agency.</u>	ONLINE
446	<u>C-358/11 Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 7 March 2013. Lapin elinkeino-, liikenne- ja ympäristökeskuksen liikenne ja infrastruktuuri -vastuualue v Lapin luonnonsuojelupiiri ry.</u>	ONLINE
447	<u>C-209/09 Judgment of the Court (Eighth Chamber) of 25 February 2010. Lahti Energia</u>	ONLINE
448	<u>C-286/08 Judgment of the Court (Fifth Chamber) of 10 September 2009. Commission v Grèce. (FR)</u>	ONLINE
449	<u>C-254/08 Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 16 July 2008. Hotel Futura et al. v Comune di Casoria.</u>	ONLINE
450	<u>C-188/07 Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber) of 24 June 2008. Commune de Mesquer v Total France SA, Total International Ltd.</u>	ONLINE
451	<u>C-252/05 Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 10 May 2007. Thames Water Utilities.</u>	ONLINE
452	<u>C-215/04 Judgment of the Court (First Chamber) of 16 February 2006. Marius Pedersen A/S v Miljøstyrelsen.</u>	ONLINE

453	<u>C-208/04 Order of the Court (Fifth Chamber) of 28 January 2005. Inter-environnement Wallonie v Région wallonne. (FR)</u>	ONLINE
454	<u>C-6/03 Judgment of the Court (First Chamber) of 14 April 2005. Deponiezweckverband Eiterköpfe v Land Rheinland-Pfalz.</u>	ONLINE
455	<u>C-309/02 Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber) of 14 December 2004. Radlberger v Land Baden-Württemberg.</u>	ONLINE
456	<u>C-463/01 Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber) of 14 December 2004. Commission v Allemagne.</u>	ONLINE
457	<u>C-457/02 Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 11 November 2004. Antonio Niselli.</u>	ONLINE
458	<u>C-1/03 Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 7 September 2004. Van de Walle et autres v Texaco Belgique.</u>	ONLINE
459	<u>C-114/01 Judgment of the Court (Sixth Chamber) of 11 September 2003. AvestaPolarit Chrome Oy.</u>	ONLINE
460	<u>C-177/01 Judgment of the Court (Fourth Chamber) of 6 June 2002. Commission v France.</u>	ONLINE
461	<u>C- 9/00 Judgment of the Court (Sixth Chamber) of 18 April 2002. Palin Granit.</u>	ONLINE
462	<u>C-203/96 Judgment of the Court (Sixth Chamber) of 25 June 1998. Chemische Afvalstoffen Dusseldorp BV e.a.</u>	ONLINE
463	<u>Joined cases C-304/94, C-330/94, C-342/94 and C-224/95 Judgment of the Court (Sixth Chamber) of 25 June 1997. Euro Tombesi e.a.</u>	ONLINE
464	<u>C-187/93 Judgment of the Court of 28 June 1994. Parlement v Conseil.</u>	ONLINE
465	<u>C-118/86 Judgment of the Court (Fifth Chamber) of 6 October 1987. Nertsvoederfabriek Netherland BV.</u>	ONLINE
466	<u>C-240/83 Judgment of the Court of 7 February 1985. Association des brûleurs d'huiles usagées.</u>	ONLINE

	II. Water law and policy	
	1. EU Documents	
467	<u>Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on minimum requirements for water reuse, Brussels, 28.5.2018, COM(2018) 337 final</u>	ONLINE
468	<u>COM(2017) 753 final, Proposal for a Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on the quality of water intended for human consumption, 1 February 2018</u>	ONLINE
469	<u>Commission Decision (EU) 2017/848 of 17 May 2017 laying down criteria and methodological standards on good environmental status of marine waters and specifications and standardised methods for monitoring and assessment, and repealing Decision 2010/477/EU</u>	ONLINE
470	<u>COM(2015) 120 final, COMMUNICATION FROM THE COMMISSION TO THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL The Water Framework Directive and the Floods Directive: Actions towards the 'good status' of EU water and to reduce flood risks, 9 March 2015</u>	ONLINE
471	<u>Directive 2013/39/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 August 2013 amending Directives 2000/60/EC and 2008/105/EC as regards priority substances in the field of water policy</u>	ONLINE
472	<u>DIRECTIVE 2008/105/EC OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 16 December 2008 on environmental quality standards in the field of water policy, amending and subsequently repealing Council Directives 82/176/EEC, 83/513/EEC, 84/156/EEC, 84/491/EEC, 86/280/EEC and amending Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council</u>	ONLINE
473	<u>Directive 2008/56/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 June 2008 establishing a framework for Community action in the field of marine environmental policy (Marine Strategy Framework Directive)</u>	ONLINE
474	<u>Directive 2007/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23</u>	ONLINE

	<u>October 2007 on the assessment and management of flood risks (Floods Directive)</u>	
475	<u>DIRECTIVE 2006/118/EC OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 12 December 2006 on the protection of groundwater against pollution and deterioration (Groundwater Directive)</u>	ONLINE
476	<u>Directive 2006/7/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 February 2006 concerning the management of bathing water quality and repealing Directive 76/160/EEC (Bathing Water Directive)</u>	ONLINE
477	<u>DECISION No 2455/2001/EC OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 20 November 2001 establishing the list of priority substances in the field of water policy and amending Directive 2000/60/EC</u>	ONLINE
478	<u>DIRECTIVE 2000/60/EC OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 23 October 2000 establishing a framework for Community action in the field of water policy (Water Framework Directive)</u>	ONLINE
479	<u>Council Directive 98/83/EC of 3 November 1998 on the quality of water intended for human consumption as amended by Commission Directive (EU) 2015/1787 (Drinking Water Directive)</u>	ONLINE
480	<u>COUNCIL DIRECTIVE 91/676/EEC of 12 December 1991 concerning the protection of waters against pollution caused by nitrates from agricultural sources (Nitrates Directive)</u>	ONLINE
481	<u>COUNCIL DIRECTIVE 91/271/EEC of 21 May 1991 concerning Urban Waste Water Treatment (Urban Waste Water Treatment Directive)</u>	ONLINE
482	<u>COMMISSION STAFF WORKING DOCUMENT SWD(2015) 50 final of 9 March 2015: Report on the progress in implementation of the Water Framework Directive</u>	ONLINE
	2. EU Case law	ONLINE
483	Case C-384/19, Judgment of the Court (Seventh Chamber) of 2 April 2020	ONLINE

	<u>European Commission v Kingdom of Spain</u>	
484	<u>Case C-298/19, Judgment of the Court (Ninth Chamber) of 27 February 2020</u> <u>European Commission v Hellenic Republic</u>	ONLINE
485	<u>Case C-105 to 113/18, Judgment of the Court (Fifth Chamber) of 7 November 2019, Asociación Española de la Industria Eléctrica (UNESA) and Others v Administración General del Estado</u>	ONLINE
486	<u>Case C-556/18, Judgment of the Court (Seventh Chamber) of 26 September 2019, European Commission v Kingdom of Spain</u>	ONLINE
487	<u>Case C-328/16, Judgment of the Court (Third Chamber) of 22 February 2018, European Commission v Hellenic Republic</u>	ONLINE
488	<u>Case C-664/15, Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 20 December 2017, Protect Natur-, Arten- und Landschaftsschutz Umweltorganisation v Bezirkshauptmannschaft Gmünd</u>	ONLINE
489	<u>Case C-320/15, Judgment of the Court (Tenth Chamber) of 14 September 2017, European Commission v Hellenic Republic</u>	ONLINE
490	<u>C-502/15 Judgment of the Court (Ninth Chamber) of 4 May 2017, European Commission v United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland</u>	ONLINE
491	<u>C-4/16 Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 2 March 2017, J. D. v Prezes Urzędu Regulacji Energetyki</u>	ONLINE
492	<u>C-686/15 Judgment of the Court (Sixth Chamber) of 7 December 2016, Vodoopskrba i odvodnja d.o.o. v Željka Klafurić</u>	ONLINE
493	<u>C-648/13 Judgment of the Court (Sixth Chamber) of 30 June 2016, European Commission v Republic of Poland</u>	ONLINE
494	<u>C-346/14 Judgment of the Court (First Chamber) of 4 May 2016, European Commission v Republic of Austria</u>	ONLINE
495	<u>C-461/13 Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber) of 1 July 2015</u> <u>Bund für Umwelt und Naturschutz Deutschland e.V. v Bundesrepublik</u>	ONLINE

	<u>Deutschland</u>	
496	<u>C- 525/11 Judgment of the Court (Third Chamber) of 18 October 2012.</u> <u>Mednis SIA v Valsts ieņēmumu dienests.</u>	ONLINE